Morphology and Petrogenesis of Pillow Lavas from the Ganj Ophiolitic Complex, Southeastern Kerman, Iran
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Abstract

The Upper Cretaceous Ganj complex, a part of the Jazmurian ophiolitic belt, is located on the western boundary of Jazmurian depression and separated from Kahnuj ophiolitic complex by north-south trending Jiroft fault. The complex consists of lava flows, pillow lavas, acidic plutonic and sedimentary rocks which are intruded by northwest-southeast trending dykes. It does not resemble a classical ophiolitic sequence due to lacking of intrusive crustal and mantle sections. The basaltic pillow lavas occur as flattened-tubular shape normal and mega sized bodies with bread crust crack surfaces. They show three textural zones from surface to interior: glassy, glassy and crystalline, and holycrystalline. Each zone characterized by different textures and varying assemblages of plagioclase ± olivine ± pyroxene and opaque. The glassy surface of the pillows frequently consists of one or rarely multiple rinds: sideromelane, dark tachylyte; and tachylyte with elongated vesicles. On Nb/Y versus Zr/TiO2 and SiO2 versus Nb/Y diagrams the pillow lavas plot in the field of basalt and sub-alkaline respectively. The relatively low immobile trace elements ratios are another sign of their tholeiitic nature. The absence of Eu anomaly on chondrite-normalized REE patterns suggests insignificances of plagioclase fractionation, or magma was relatively oxidized. They are similar to transitional basalts that lie between enriched MORB and OIB and some BABB. However enrichment in incompatible elements, depletion in Nb and low La/Nb ratios (0.94-1.81) are signature of BABB. They were formed by 15-30% partial melting of plagioclase lherzolite where fractionation was controlled by removal of olivine, spinel and clinopyroxene.
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Introduction

Mesozoic ophiolites represent widespread fragments of the Neo-Tethyan Ocean that developed during the Triassic between Eurasia and Gondwanaland [5,69,70]. The Mesozoic suture zones in Iran are marked by significant aerial disruption of ophiolite related bodies. These suture zones are interpreted by numerous models.
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Ricou [63] considered them as originating from a single ocean while others [5,32] believe that separate ophiolite alignments represent different ocean basins. This latter view is more consistent with abundant volcanic rocks, which represent different tectonic settings.

The Iranian ophiolites (Fig. 1) are part of the Middle Eastern Tethyan ophiolites and have a unique geographical position in joining the Asian ophiolites in the east (e.g. Pakistani and Tibetan) to the Mediterranean and Carpathian ophiolites in the west (e.g. Troodos, Greek and East European). On the basis of age and abundances, the Iranian ophiolites were classified into two main groups: the less abundant Paleozoic and more abundant Mesozoic ophiolites [2]. However, geographically they can be divided into four groups: (i) ophiolites of northern Iran along the Alborz range, (ii) ophiolites of Zagros Suture Zone, located near Neyriz and Kermanshah, which appear to be an extension of the Oman ophiolite abducted onto the Arabian continental crust, (iii) ophiolite complexes to the south of Jazmurian Depression which are known as Makran or Jurassic ophiolite belt. They are located to the north and south of Band-e-Zeyarat/Makran microcontinent [41]. Those located to the north are represented by Band-e-Zeyarat / Dare-Anar, Ganj, Rameshk / Mokhtarabad complexes, whereas to the south a classic ophiolitic mélangé of tectonic origin occurs. The ophiolites are mainly represented by small, disrupted fragments, but there are two intact or partly intact layered complexes, the Sorkhband and Rudan complexes dominated by ultramafic rocks. (iv) ophiolitic coloured mélange complexes of Central Iran (e.g. Baft, Shah-Babak, Naein, Sabzevar and Tchehel-Kureh), which are marking the boundaries of the Central Iranian microcontinent (Fig. 1).

A tentative tectonic reconstruction considers that the Iranian Neo-Tethyan ophiolites were formed in three different structural zones: (1) a southern northwest-southeast trending belt which is called the Peri-Arabic belt [63] or southern Neo-Tethyan ocean [68,70], (2) the Central Iranian belt (trending N-S and E-W) which represents remnants of the Nain-Baft, Sabzevaran-Sistan oceans [43,81], and (3) the Jazmurian belt which trends northwest and east-west marking the remnants of the Makran ocean basin (Inner Makran suture of McCall and Kidd, [43]). The so-called Ganj ophiolitic complex (McCall and Kidd, [43]) belongs to this belt.

The aim of this paper is to present a descriptive view of pillow lavas morphologies and factors involved in their formation in the Ganj complex. Furthermore, their petrography, mineralogy and petrogenesis are also discussed.

**Regional Geology**

Among the most important revelations of the Paragon-Contech mapping of Makran (1976-1978) was the recognition of the Bajgan-Durkan complex (Bajgan-Durkan microcontinent) as continuation of the Sanandaj-Sirjan zone of Iran and the Bittlis massif of Turkey [37,41]. In this context the Sanandaj-Sirjan microcontinental sliver continues eastwards, right through Makran and is referred to as the Sanandaj-Sirjan-Bajgan-Durkan block (SS/BD) [42]. The SS/BD block consists of metamorphic rocks (garnitiferous schists, quartzites and marbles, e.g. Bajgan complex) of probable Early Paleozoic age or older, which are covered by highly deformed and disrupted Carboniferous to Lower Paleocene platform sequences dominated by shelf limestones (e.g., the Durkan complex).

The ophiolites to the north of the Bajgan-Durkan microcontinent (inner Makran spreading zone of McCall, [42]) are represented by the Band-e-Zeyarat / Dare-Anar (known also as Kahnuj ophiolite), Ganj, Rameshk / Mokhtarabad complexes [37,38,39,40]. They are mostly intact, only locally they form melanges, and with exception of the Ganj complex show classic sequences. They are all fault bounded and seem to belong to a large but dismembered complex [37].

**Geological Setting**

The Upper Cretaceous Ganj complex, a discontinuous north trending belt of 38 km length and 15 km width, is unusual in being dominated by intermediate and acidic dykes. Though, the Ganj complex has been
interpreted as an ophiolitic sequence [37], it does not resemble a classical ophiolitic sequence and lacks the intrusive crustal and mantle sections. The complex is bounded to the Kahnuj ophiolitic complex by the north-south trending Jiroft fault (Fig. 2).

The Ganj complex is predominantly of sheeted multiple dykes, locally curving, intruding country rocks which consist essentially of lava flows, but which has elements of plagiogranite (associated with minor gabbro) and turbiditic sediments. The volcanic rocks and dykes constitute approximately 85% of the outcrops. The former consist of pillowed lavas, brecciated and massive lava flows. They are mostly basic to acidic in composition and consist of basalt, basaltic andesite, keratophyre, quartz keratophyre, dacite, rhyodacite and rhyolite. Pillow lavas are mainly exposed to the south and less abundant in the northern part of the study area (Fig. 2). They are glandular and tubby in shapes, ranging from 0.2 to 7 meter in diameter. The pillow lavas do not show their actual geopetal structure, suggesting that they were tilted during their emplacement. The multiple northwest-southeast trending dykes are wide and forming sub-vertical zones up to 8 km long and 1.5 km wide. However, the early phases of dykes are thin and discontinuous. Locally, the ratio of dykes to rocks that they intruded may reach as high as 20:1. The dykes are mostly andesite and dacite feldspar porphyry with minor basaltic andesite, keratophyre and dacite-rhyodacite. The lavas and dykes of the Ganj ophiolitic complex contain low to medium grade hydrothermally altered material which was metamorphosed before tectonic emplacement and as in other ophiolitic complexes (ex, Pindos, Troodos) is considered to have occurred in the submarine environment. The alteration is a result of water/rock interaction at elevated temperatures through the convection process [76]. The lavas are generally altered to some extent to greenschist facies, whereas the dykes in parts are altered to zeolite facies assemblages. Plutonic rocks are acidic in composition and mostly consist of plagiogranite (tonalite, trondhjemite and albite granite). Minor gabbro is associated with plagiogranite, locally in an agmatite. K-Ar radiometric age dating indicates Senonian and Albian ages for plagioclase porphyry dykes and plagiogranites respectively [37]. Scarcely, Late Cretaceous sedimentary rocks as thinly bedded laminated sandstone, turbiditic siltstone, limestone and tuff occur as narrow screens between the dykes throughout the area.

Morphology of Pillow Lavas

Pillow lavas are important features of oceanic volcanism and their internal facies style helps to understand eruption processes, the evolution and emplacement conditions of the lava [4,30]. Pillow size is directly related to composition, viscosity and discharge rate of magma (with slope angle acting as a secondary controller), thermal endurance of the pillow or its ability to withstand fracturing during cooling [8, 47,64,75,82]. Steep slopes lead to premature detachment of pillow lavas, due to gravitational forces from the main feeder during emplacement [20,83], whereas gentler slopes provide a more even surface and longer time of stability for pillow expansion and growth [83].

Using the size classification scheme of Walker [83], the Ganj complex pillow lavas can be classified both as normal (<100 cm in length) and mega (>1 to 3 meters in length) pillows. They have different shapes and features, mostly flattened and tubular with bread crust crack surfaces (Fig. 3). The crack surfaces are directly related to their growth mechanism. Uniform and localized stretching of the outer crust generally creates small pillows with smooth-surface and unbroken chilled crusts [60,72,83]. Slower extrusion rates favor symmetrical spreading and toothpaste like pillow lavas with subsequent spreading and development of ruptures on chilled crust surfaces; as observed in the Ganj complex pillow lavas. The outer glassy surfaces of pillows are frequently consisting of one rind, rarely multiple rinds are observed. The rind is shiny black with thin cracks and ~ 1-2 cm thick. The multiple rinds in typical basaltic pillows consist of three layers, which from surface inwards are: (1) sidereomelan, (2) dark tachylyte; and (3) tachylytic with elongated vesicles [28].

Several mechanisms have been proposed for the formation of multiple rind structures [28,49,74,87]. Initial interpretations focused on chilling of newly formed lava within tension cracks by seawater as mechanism for detachment of the outer rinds of pillows [18, 74]. Using this, Yamagishi [87] interpreted multiple rind structures as a result of repeated generation of shear joints between the outer solidifying crust and the molten interior. As a pillow forms by budding it develops a plastic skin, molten interior, evolved gases (as it is get cooled) and growth continues as long as a supply of hot lava is maintained. Studies also show that gas separation in subaerial pahoehoe in Hawaii could take place over an interval of 5-10 minutes or much less time in contact with water [79]. Internal pressure continues to build up within a pillow until it is suddenly decreased either by condensation of gases or drainage of lava through a new bud. This causes a pillow to implode or shrink and its plastic skin buckles inward or breaks at weakest points (e.g., at radial joints; Fig. 3e) and forms multiple-rind structures [26,28,45]. This view can be taken into
Figure 2. Geological map of the Ganj complex, southeast of Kahriz, Kerman (modified from the Geological Map of Iran, 100,000 Series, sheet 7545).
account for the formation of multiple rinds in the Ganj complex pillow lavas, where a series of radial fractures converge towards the centre, and probable shrinkage cracks developed during the cooling of the pillow lavas. Many of these fractures are now filled with secondary minerals such as calcite, prehnite-pumpellyte and chlorite. Furthermore, other factors may have been involved for the formation of multiple rinds such as: effusion rate, viscosity, temperature, total volume of extruded lava, and slope of underlying surface [28].

Throughout the Ganj complex the shapes of pillows vary considerably in cross section, from spherical, oval and elongated to irregular (Fig. 3f). These variations appear to have resulted from emplacement within spaces between preceding pillows and, in the case of irregular shaped bases, due to accommodation into the relief of earlier emplaced pillows [4]. Spaces between pillow lavas are filled with cements of hydrothermal origin by secondary minerals such as: calcite, chlorite and hyaloclastite breccias.

The morphology of the pillows observed in the Ganj complex is consistent with underwater observations made by Moore [48]. He proposed that the mechanism for pillow growth was not stretching of the outer skin but rather branching and lengthening through expansion, due to influx of fresh lava. Lava propagates forward as discrete lobes, which then instantaneously develop a visco-elastic skin as a result of surface cooling. Viscosity alone cannot dictate lava morphology, since its magnitude must change in order to cause variation in lava morphology [13, 14, 19-22].

**Petrography**

The Ganj complex pillow lavas can be divided in an aphyric (e.g., samples SE-11 and SE-13) and a phyric (e.g., samples SA-43-2, SE-10 and SE-12) group. Petrographic studies show obvious variations in crystal morphologies and textures between the chilled glassy outer rims and the holocrystalline cores. Three major textural zones were distinguished; similar textural zones were also reported from other parts of the world [3, 7, 31, 67]. All three zones show affect of alteration as assemblages of albite, chlorite, calcite, epidote, quartz,
prehnite, pumpellyite and uralite.

Zone (1) is vitrophyric at the rim composed of sheaf like radial clusters of plagioclase with scattered microphenocrysts of olivine and plagioclase in the interstices and opaque sitting in a glassy matrix. Plagioclase occurs as fine dendritic microlites, acicular (<0.25 mm), and rarely as subhedral skeletal laths up to 1.0 mm long. Commonly dendritic fibers or arms are observed at the plagioclase terminations. Olivine, as sparse skeletal microphenocrysts (0.5-1.0 mm), is observed in some samples. It is often altered and replaced by chlorite. Opaque minerals, in dendritic forms, are very abundant in zone 1.

Zone (2) is a variolitic zone, characterized by skeletal crystals of plagioclase ± olivine ± clinopyroxene + opaque in a glassy matrix (hypohyaline texture). Plagioclase occurs as euhedral to subhedral elongated phenocrysts (up to 3 mm) and microlitic lath, with a variety of spherulitic forms, in the groundmass. Hollow plagioclase microlites are observed in this zone. Plagioclase often shows reaction rims/resorbed margins in the form of jagged ends and/or may have inclusions of the groundmass materials. Olivine occurs as microphenocrysts and microlites in the groundmass. They are often altered and replaced by secondary minerals such as chlorite, calcite and prehnite-pumpellyite. Clinopyroxene occurs as subhedral to anhedral grains, < 0.1 mm, and is often replaced by uralite and chlorite. Opaque minerals appear as acicular and dendritic crystals in the groundmass.

Zone (3) is the inner holocrystalline basalt, which consists of plagioclase ± olivine ± clinopyroxene + opaque showing interstitial and to some extent flow textures. Plagioclase occurs as unzoned calcic euhedral to subhedral rectangular phenocrysts with rounded edges (up to 3 mm) and microlites with spherulitic forms in the groundmass and . Other characteristics of plagioclase are similar to zone 2. Olivine in zone 3 occurs as euhedral to subhedral phenocrysts (up to 3 mm) with various dendritic morphologies, and as microlite in the groundmass. Olivine phenocrysts are often altered and replaced by chlorite. Clinopyroxene occurs as anhedral to subhedral crystals with dendritic forms (up to 0.3 mm) which are replaced by uralite and chlorite in some cases. The clinopyroxenes together with plagioclase spherulites represent the variolitic texture in zone 3. Abundant dendritic opaque minerals occur between plagioclases.

Geochemistry

Nearly all the Ganj complex pillow lavas are altered to some extent to greenschist facies as a result of submarine hydrothermal alteration, a feature typical of other ophiolite basalts [56,58,73,82].

Studies of chemical changes due to alteration have revealed significant mobilities of most major oxides (except TiO2 which may be considered relatively stable) and large ion lithophile (LIL) trace elements, such as Ba, Rb. These elements are unlikely to reflect primary composition [23,27]. On the other hand selected elements, particularly Zr, Nb, Ti, Y, Ta and rare earths (REE), may be used to characterize altered basic volcanics according to their petrological affinities and probable tectonic environment of formation [9,25,54, 71,84,86,88].

On the Nb/Y versus Zr/TiO2 and SiO2 versus Nb/Y diagrams [85] the Ganj complex pillow lavas plot mainly in the basalt and sub-alkaline fields respectively (Fig. 4). Further evidence of their sub-alkaline (tholeiitic) nature come from the low ratios of relatively immobile trace elements such as: Zr/Y (3.73-6.93), Th/Ta (1.18-1.73), La/Nb (0.94-1.81) and Nb/Y (0.08-0.57) [85] (Table 1). A wide range of Cr and Ni contents, relatively low TiO2, P2O5 contents and low

Figure 4. (a) Zr/TiO2 versus Nb/Y and (b) SiO2 versus Nb/Y diagrams for Ganj complex pillow lavas [85]. Symbols are the same as in Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Position</th>
<th>Texture Phenocryst</th>
<th>Margin Phenocryst</th>
<th>Core Phenocryst</th>
<th>Margin Aphyric</th>
<th>Core Aphyric</th>
<th>Aphyric</th>
<th>Margin Aphyric</th>
<th>Core Aphyric</th>
<th>Aphyric</th>
<th>Margin Aphyric</th>
<th>Core Aphyric</th>
<th>Aphyric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Symbol</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>1.265</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>1.229</td>
<td>1.826</td>
<td>1.594</td>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>1.968</td>
<td>1.702</td>
<td>1.579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO*</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO*/MgO</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2/P2O5</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>361</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO*</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO*/MgO</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2/P2O5</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>361</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO*</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO*/MgO</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2/P2O5</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Representative analyses of the Ganj complex pillow lavas, Note: Major oxides in wt%; trace and rare elements in ppm; LOI= loss on ignition; FeO=total Fe as FeO
TiO$_2$/P$_2$O$_5$ ratios (4.52-10.45) [78] equally point to a transitional tholeiitic nature. In trace element discrimination diagrams of the eruptive environments for basic rocks such as V versus Ti and Ce/Nb versus Th/Nb diagrams (Figs. 5 and 6) the Ganj complex pillow lavas show affinities to typical mid ocean ridge basalts (MORB) and back-arc basin basalts (BABB), rather than island-arc tholeiite (IAT) [1, 55, 66]. The Ce/Nb versus Th/Nb diagram (Fig. 6) is considered to be the best discriminant for tectonic environment and these ratios are interpreted to reflect source values [65].

The La/Nb ratio versus Y diagram provides useful discrimination between ocean ridge (with low, restricted La/Nb) and subduction-related eruptive settings [6, 17]. The La/Nb ratio provides an indication of LILE-enrichment in a subduction-related environment relative to HFSE [65]. The low La/Nb ratios of the Ganj complex pillow lavas indicate that they are representative of a back-arc basin environment (rather than an arc) and are clearly distinguished from enriched MORB or intraplate oceanic flood lava flows with similar low Y contents [16] (Fig. 7). Comparison of geochemical patterns of the pillow lavas to MORB, island arcs and back arc basin basalts [53] may be made using the high ionic potential elements which are regarded immobile during alteration. Their normalized MORB multi-element diagrams (Fig. 8) show that the pillow lavas are enriched in large ion lithophile elements (LIL) relative to MORB. This enrichment is higher in aphyric relative to porphyritic pillows.

The chondrite-normalized rare earth element (REE) patterns (Fig. 9) show a relatively mild enrichment in light REEs (LREEs) relative to heavy REEs (HREEs). The low level enrichment in LREE decrease from aphyric ([La/Yb]$_{chondrite}$= 3.50-3.94) to porphyritic pillow lavas ([La/Yb]$_{chondrite}$= 0.92-1.32). The pattern lack the LREE typical depletion of N-type MORB and many island arc tholeiitic (IAT), but show similarities to T-type MORB and some back-arc basin basalts (BABB) [15, 29, 78]. The level of REE contents of aphyric and porphyric also correspond to those between E-MORB and OIB. The absence of a distinct Eu anomaly (Eu/Eu* = 0.97-1.13), indicates that plagioclase fractionation was not significant, or that the magma was relatively oxidized.

For the petrogenetic discrimination of basalts, oceanic or not, Pearce and Peate [59] and Pearce [52] used two ratio plots of Th versus Nb (i.e. Th/Yb-Nb/Yb) as proxy to highlight the crustal contamination and Ti versus Nb (i.e. Ti/Yb-Nb/Yb) to highlight melting depth. The Th/Yb-Nb/Yb diagram (Fig. 10) indicates present day MORB with a slight evolution towards OIB, and interacted with continental crust during ascent or show a subduction component then they plot above the MORB-OIB array or on a vector at a steep angle to the array, reflecting selective Th addition [52]. On the Ti/Yb-Nb/Yb diagram (Fig. 11) N-MORB and E-MORB are obvious. The Ganj complex pillow lavas clearly show their MORB affinities with tendency towards both E-MORB and OIB compositions with no sign of crustal involvement, either direct crustal contamination or crustal recycling by subduction or via inherited subduction components in the lithosphere in Figures 10 and 11 [52].
Figure 7. La/Nb versus Y plot [17] for the Ganj complex pillow lavas. Symbols are the same as in Table 1.

Figure 8. MORB-normalized multi-element plots for the Ganj complex pillow lavas, normalized values from Sun and McDonough, [77]. Symbols are the same as in Table 1.

Figure 9. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns [77] for the Ganj complex pillow lavas. Symbols are the same as in Table 1.

Figure 10. Th/Yb versus Nb/Yb diagram [59] of the Ganj complex pillow lavas. WPE: within-plate enrichment; SZ: subduction zone flux; CC: crustal contamination. N-MORB, E-MORB, and OIB fields from Sun and McDonough (1989). Symbols are the same as in Table 1.

Figure 11. TiO2/Yb versus Nb/Yb diagram (Pearce, 2008) of the Ganj complex pillow lavas, WPE: within-plate enrichment; SZ: subduction zone flux; CC: crustal contamination. N-MORB, E-MORB, and OIB fields from Sun and McDonough (1989). Symbols are the same as in Table 1.

Figure 12. Cr-Y diagram [57] for the Ganj complex pillow lavas. Symbols are the same as in Table 1.
In a Cr versus Y diagram [55] the pillow lavas plot mainly in the MORB and BABB fields (Fig. 12). As it shows they formed as a result of 15-30% partial melting of plagioclase lherzolite and that they lie along the fractional crystallization trend that is controlled by removal of olivine, spinel, clinopyroxene. Similarly, Lippard et al. [33] suggested 20-30% partial melting to removal of olivine, spinel, clinopyroxene. Similarly, fractional crystallization trend that is controlled by plagioclase lherzolite and that they lie along the main MORB and BABB fields (Fig. 12). As it shows they formed as a result of 15-30% partial melting of mantle plagioclase lherzolite. Aphyric basalts show more differentiated compositions and higher incompatible element contents than phyric lavas [10, 11, 12, 61, 62]. As observed in the Ganj complex, lavas with aphanitic textures are tholeiitic in composition and show similarities with transitional basalts between enriched MORB and OIB and some back arc basin basalts. However their enrichment in incompatible elements, depletion in Nb and low La/Nb ratios (0.94-1.81) are signature of BABB. They were probably formed by approximately 15-30% partial melting of mantle lherzolite. Aphyric basalts show more differentiated compositions and higher incompatible element contents than phyric lavas [10, 11, 12, 61, 62]. As observed in the Ganj complex, lavas with aphanitic textures are enrichment in LREE as compared to those with porphyritic textures. The absence of a distinct Eu anomaly in the Ganj complex pillow lavas suggests that plagioclase fractionation was not particularly significant, or it may indicate that the magma was relatively oxidized.

**Results and Discussion**

Research on pillow lavas has focused on the processes of formation and propagation on the seafloor [45]. Studies on the morphology of pillow lavas have been carried out on freshly formed submarine pillows [87]. Ganj complex pillow basalts have been formed when hot, fluid basaltic lava rapidly cooled upon its contact with the cold seawater. This had effects not only on the textural and mineralogical features of the pillows, but due to different cooling rates of the lava, three broad textural zones were formed. These textural zones are from margins inward (1) a glassy crust; (2) incipient microcrystalline zone with feathery crystals, which gradually grade into zone (3) which is a holocrystalline inner part of pillow lava [45]. The presence of various mineral phases in these three zones reveals their development and crystallization sequence. Though these phases may vary in each sample, one can infer a general idea of mineral paragenesis in the Ganj complex pillow lava.

The abundance of olivine as phenocrysts and microlites even near glassy margin in the Ganj complex pillow lavas indicates a magnesium rich composition of the magma [50, 51]. The presence of olivine or plagioclase or both in the quenched outer glassy rim (zone 1) has been reported from recent oceanic basalts [46]. Crystal growth in zone 1 and in the outer part of zone 2 may have occurred under super cooled conditions in which the viscosity of the melt significantly reduced the diffusion rates [7]. For crystals to grow in euhedral shape, the melt temperature must be maintained at or just below the liquidus temperature for relatively long periods of time [7].

The assemblage of acicular plagioclase and clinopyroxene spherulites along with some individual dendritic laths of plagioclase in the pillow lavas, such as Ganj complex pillow lavas, has been interpreted as an indication of a cooling rate of 5-20°C/h for the middle and core zones of pillows [35, 44, 80]. The abundance of zoned crystals shows an almost inverse proportion to the ascent rate of magma and also the size of the magma chamber [15]. Thus, it seems the Ganj complex pillow lavas formed as magma rouse rapidly from its chamber with little or no time to form zoned crystals [15].

The formation of basaltic textures is depending from: cooling rate, fluid flow, liquid composition, nucleation and growth rates, heterogeneous nucleation, and settling or floating of crystals [45]. The occurrence of phenocrysts and microcrystalline plagioclase in the Ganj complex pillow lavas, show either different stages of a cooling history or, more likely, the effect of sudden changes in the degree of super-cooling [35] (∆T), and the number of nuclei [34]. The nucleation rate or nucleation density is influenced by the cooling rate that in turn controls the differences in grain size. For example, low nucleation rate and high growth rate, will produce phenocrysts/megacrysts, whereas high nucleation and growth rate result microphenocrysts [45]. The wide range of plagioclase sizes in the Ganj complex pillow lavas; points to a high number of nuclei per unit volume present in the parent melt.

Geochemical data indicate that the Ganj complex pillow lavas are tholeiitic in composition and show similarities with transitional basalts between enriched MORB and OIB and some back arc basin basalts. However their enrichment in incompatible elements, depletion in Nb and low La/Nb ratios (0.94-1.81) are signature of BABB. They were probably formed by approximately 15-30% partial melting of mantle lherzolite. Aphyric basalts show more differentiated compositions and higher incompatible element contents than phyric lavas [10, 11, 12, 61, 62]. As observed in the Ganj complex, lavas with aphanitic textures are enrichment in LREE as compared to those with porphyritic textures. The absence of a distinct Eu anomaly in the Ganj complex pillow lavas suggests that plagioclase fractionation was not particularly significant, or it may indicate that the magma was relatively oxidized.

**Acknowledgements**

Financial support for chemical analyses was provided by Department of Geology at Potsdam University in Germany. A.R. Shaker Ardakani would like to express his special thanks and deep appreciation to Prof. F. Scherbaum (Head of Geology Department), Dr. U. Altenberger, Dr. C. Günter, C. Fischer at Potsdam University and Dr. F. Wombacher of Free University of Berlin for their helps in laboratory works. The authors are grateful to anonymous reviewers for critically reading the manuscript.
References


