

# FLOWS AND UNIVERSAL COMPACTIFICATIONS\*

M.A. Pourabdollah and A. Sahleh

*Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159-91775,  
Mashhad, Islamic Republic of Iran*

### Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to establish a relation between universality of certain  $P$ -compactifications of a semitopological semigroup and their corresponding enveloping semigroups. In particular, we show that if we take  $P$  to be the property that the enveloping semigroup of a compactification of a semitopological semigroup  $S$  is left simple, a group, or the trivial singleton semigroup, then the universal  $P$ -compactification of  $S$  would be the distal, the right simple, or the right zero compactification, respectively.

### Preliminaries

Throughout this section, unless stated otherwise,  $S$  will be a semitopological semigroup, written multiplicatively. For our notation, we shall follow Berglund *et al.* [1] as far as possible. In particular, we will frequently be referring to the notions of semigroup compactifications, universal  $P$ -compactifications, and their homomorphisms.

For a topological space  $Y$ ,  $C(Y)$  denotes the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded complex-valued continuous functions on  $Y$ . A subspace  $F$  of  $C(S)$  is translation invariant if  $L_s F \cup R_s F \subseteq F$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} L_s F &= \{L_s f : s \in S, f \in F\}, \\ R_s F &= \{R_s f : s \in S, f \in F\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(L_s f)(t) = f(st) = (R_t f)(s) \quad (s, t \in S, f \in C(S)).$$

A translation invariant closed subspace  $F$  of  $C(S)$

containing the constant functions is left introverted if  $T_\mu^F F \subseteq F$  for each  $\mu \in M(F)$ : = the set of all means on  $F$ , where

$$(T_\mu^F f)(s) = \mu(L_s f) \quad (s \in S, \mu \in F^*, f \in F)$$

We remind the reader that a mean on  $F$  is a bounded linear functional  $\mu$  on  $F$  which satisfies  $\|\mu\| = \mu(1_s) = 1$ , where  $1_s$  denotes the constant function of value 1 on  $S$ .

If, in addition,  $F$  is a subalgebra, then  $F$  is called left  $m$ -introverted if  $T_\mu^F F \subseteq F$  for each  $\mu \in MM(F)$ : = the set of all multiplicative means on  $F$  (i.e. the spectrum of  $F$ ) which will hereafter be denoted by  $S^F$ . An admissible subspace of  $C(S)$  is a norm closed, conjugate closed, left introverted subspace of  $C(S)$ , containing the constant functions. An  $m$ -admissible subalgebra of  $C(S)$  is a left  $m$ -introverted unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(S)$ .

The mapping  $T^F: \mu \rightarrow T_\mu^F : MM(F) \rightarrow L(F, C(S))$  is called the introversion operator determined by  $F$ , where  $F$  is an  $m$ -admissible subalgebra of  $C(S)$  and  $L(F, C(S))$  is the space of all bounded linear operators from  $F$  into  $C(S)$ .

The reader is directed to Berglund *et al.* [1], Theorem 3.1.7, for the correspondence between compactifications

**Keywords:** Compactification; Enveloping semigroup; Flow

\*1991 Mathematics subject classification. 22A20, 20M20, 54H15  
E-mail: pourabd@toos.um.ac.ir, Sahleh@science2.um.ac.ir

of  $S$  and  $m$ -admissible subalgebras of  $C(S)$ .

Let  $F$  be an  $m$ -admissible subalgebra of  $C(S)$ , then  $S^F$  under the multiplication  $\mu\nu := \mu \circ T_V^F(\nu, \nu \in S^F)$  furnished with the Gelfand topology, is a compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup and hence we have a compactification  $(\varepsilon, S^F)$  where  $\varepsilon : S \rightarrow S^F$  is the evaluation mapping. This compactification is called the canonical  $F$ -compactification of  $S$ . Conversely, if  $(\psi, X)$  is a compactification of  $S$ , then  $\psi^*(C(X))$  is the corresponding  $m$ -admissible subalgebra of  $C(S)$ , where  $\psi^*$  is the dual mapping of  $\psi$ .

Some of the  $m$ -admissible subalgebras of  $C(S)$ , that will be needed in the sequel, are the following:

$SAP(S) :=$  Closed linear span in  $C(S)$  of the coefficients of continuous finite dimensional unitary representations of  $S$ ,

$LMC(S) := \{f \in C(S) : R_f \text{ is relatively compact in } C(S) \text{ in the topology of pointwise convergence on } S\}$ ,

$D(S) := \{f \in LMC(S) : (\mu\eta\nu)(f) = (\mu\nu)(f) \text{ for } \mu, \nu, \eta \in S^{LMC} \text{ with } \eta^2 = \eta\}$ ,

$MD(S) := \{f \in D(S) : (\eta\mu)(f) = \mu(f) \text{ for } \eta, \mu \in S^{LMC} \text{ with } \eta^2 = \eta\}$ ,

$SD(S) := \{f \in D(S) : (\mu\eta)(f) = \mu(f) \text{ for } \eta, \mu \in S^{LMC} \text{ with } \eta^2 = \eta\}$ ,

$GP(S) := MD(S) \cap SD(S)$ ,

$LZ(S) := \{f \in D(S) : f(st) = f(s) \text{ for } s, t \in S\}$ ,

$RZ(S) := \{f \in D(S) : f(st) = f(t) \text{ for } s, t \in S\}$ ,

We shall occasionally suppress the letter  $S$  from the notations for these algebras. For a description of the above algebras, the reader may consult Chapter 4 of Berglund *et al.* [1], and also Junghenn [2].

**Remark.** Suppose that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are  $m$ -admissible subalgebras of  $C(S)$  with  $F_1 \subseteq F_2$ , and  $T^{F_1}, T^{F_2}$  are the introversion operators determined by  $F_1, F_2$ , respectively. Also suppose that  $(\varepsilon_i, S^{F_i})$  is the canonical  $F_i$ -compactification, where  $\varepsilon_i : S \rightarrow S^{F_i}$  is the evaluation mapping,  $i = 1, 2$ . By proposition 1.2, there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\pi : S^{F_1} \rightarrow S^{F_2}$  such that  $\pi \circ \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$ ; (notice that the homomorphism  $\pi$  is just the restriction map of the functionals in  $S^{F_2}$  to the subalgebra  $F_1$ ). Thus we have

$$(T_{\mu}^{F_1} f)(s) = \mu(L_s f) = \pi(\mu)(L_s f) = (T_{\mu}^{F_2} f)(s) \quad (s \in S, f \in F, \mu \in S^{F_2})$$

Therefore

$$T_{\mu}^{F_1} f = T_{\pi(\mu)}^{F_2} f \quad (f \in F_2, \mu \in S^{F_1}) \quad (*)$$

Now let  $\mu \in S^{F_2}$ , since  $\pi$  is onto, there is a  $\tilde{\mu} \in S^{F_1}$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{\mu}) = \mu$ , and

$$\pi(\tilde{\mu})(f) = \mu(f) \quad (f \in F_2).$$

By (\*) we have

$$T_{\tilde{\mu}}^{F_1} f = T_{\pi(\tilde{\mu})}^{F_2} f = T_{\mu}^{F_2} f \quad (f \in F_2) \quad (**)$$

Thus we can suppress the letters  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  from the notation of introversion operators and we can always assume  $\mu \in S^{F_1}$ .

### Flows and Compactifications

A flow is a triple  $(S, X, \pi)$ , such that  $S$  is a semitopological semigroup,  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space and  $\pi : S \times X \rightarrow X$  is an action of  $S$  on  $X$ , meaning that  $\pi(st, x) = \pi(s, \pi(t, x))$ , where  $\pi(s, \cdot) : X \rightarrow X$  is continuous for each  $s \in S$ . We often write  $(S, X)$  for  $(S, X, \pi)$ ,  $sx$  for  $\pi(s, x)$  and  $\pi_s$  for  $\pi(s, \cdot)$ . The enveloping semigroup of a flow  $(S, X)$ , denoted by  $\Sigma(S, X)$ , is the closure of the semigroup  $\{\pi_s : s \in S\}$  in  $X^X$ . A flow  $(S, X)$  is called separately continuous if the mapping  $s \rightarrow sx : S \rightarrow X$  is also continuous for each  $x \in X$ .

A flow  $(S, X)$  is distal if  $\lim_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} x_1 \neq \lim_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} x_2$  for each distinct pair  $x_1, x_2$  in  $X$  and all nets  $\{s_{\alpha}\}$  in  $S$  for which both limits exist. By a famous theorem of Ellis (see Berglund *et al.* [1], Theorem 1.6.9),  $(S, X)$  is distal if and only if  $\Sigma(S, X)$  is a group.

**Remark 2.1. (a)** (See Berglund *et al.* [1], Proposition 1.6.5)  $\Sigma(S, X)$  is a compact right topological subsemigroup of  $X^X$ , for the topology of pointwise convergence on  $X$ . The mapping  $\sigma : S \rightarrow \Sigma(S, X)$ , defined by  $\sigma(s) = \pi_s$ , is a homomorphism of  $S$  onto a dense subsemigroup of  $\Sigma(S, X)$  and for each  $s \in S$ , the mapping  $\zeta \rightarrow \pi_s \zeta : \Sigma(S, X) \rightarrow \Sigma(S, X)$  is continuous, where

$$(\pi_s \zeta)(x) = s(\zeta(x)) \quad (x \in X).$$

**(b)** Suppose that  $F$  is an  $m$ -admissible subalgebra of  $LMC(S)$ . If we define  $\pi : S \times S^F \rightarrow S^F$ , by  $\pi(s, \mu)(f) = \mu(L_s f)$ , then  $(S, S^F, \pi)$  is a flow. For each  $\mu \in S^F$ , there exists a  $\tilde{\mu} \in MM(C(S))$  such that

$$\tilde{\mu}(f) = \mu(f) \quad (f \in F)$$

Now if  $\{s_\alpha\}$  is a net in  $S$  such that  $\lim_\alpha s_\alpha = s$ , for some  $s \in S$ , then we have

$$\lim_\alpha \mu(L_{s_\alpha} f) = \lim_\alpha \tilde{\mu}(L_{s_\alpha} f) = \tilde{\mu}(L_s f) = \mu(L_s f) \quad (f \in F).$$

Thus the mapping  $s \rightarrow s\mu$ , where  $s\mu = \pi(s, \mu)$ , is continuous for each  $\mu \in S^F$  therefore,  $(S, S^F, \pi)$  is a separately continuous flow (see also Lau [3], Lemma 4.3).

(c) Let  $(\psi, X)$  be a compactification of  $S$ . Since  $\psi^*(C(S)) \subseteq LMC(S)$ , by (b),  $(S, X)$  is a separately continuous flow and therefore by (a),  $(\sigma, \Sigma(S, X))$  is a compactification of  $S$ . We call  $\Sigma(S, X)$  the *enveloping semigroup* of the compactification  $(\psi, X)$ ; obviously this will cause no confusion. If, in addition,  $X$  has a right identity, then the mapping  $\theta: x \rightarrow \zeta_x: X \rightarrow \Sigma(S, X)$  is one-to-one, where  $\zeta_x(y) = xy$  for each  $y \in X$ . Thus by Lemma 2.4 infra,  $\theta$  is an isomorphism. Therefore  $(\sigma, \Sigma(S, X)) \cong (\psi, X)$ .

In Theorems 2.6 and 2.7, we will give the relation between universality of certain  $P$ -compactifications of  $S$  and their corresponding enveloping semigroups. To prove these theorems we need the following statements:

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $S$  be a semitopological semigroup. Then

- (a) if  $f \in D(S)$  and  $\mu \in S^D$ , then  $T_\mu f \in MD(S)$ ,
- (b) if  $f \in SD(S)$  and  $\mu \in S^{SD}$ , then  $T_\mu f \in GP(S)$ ,
- (c) if  $f \in RZ(S)$  and  $\mu \in S^{RZ}$ , then  $T_\mu f$  is a constant function.

**Proof.** For an  $m$ -admissible subalgebra  $F$  of  $LMC(S)$ , if  $\mu \in S^F$ , then there exists  $\tilde{\mu} \in S^{LMC}$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}(f) = \mu(f)$  for each  $f \in F$ .

(a) Suppose that  $f \in D(S)$  and  $\mu \in S^D$ , then we have

$$\forall \eta(T_{\tilde{\mu}} f) = \forall \eta \tilde{\mu}(f) = \forall \tilde{\mu}(f) = \forall(T_{\tilde{\mu}} f) \quad (f \in F, \forall, \eta \in S^{LMC}, \eta^2 = \eta)$$

Thus  $T_{\tilde{\mu}} f \in MD(S)$ . Since

$$(T_\mu f)(s) = \mu(L_s f) = \tilde{\mu}(L_s f) = (T_{\tilde{\mu}} f)(s) \quad (s \in S, f \in F)$$

so  $T_\mu f \in MD(S)$ .

(b) By (a), if  $f \in SD(S)$  and  $\mu \in S^{SD}$ , then  $T_\mu f \in MD(S)$ . Since  $SD(S)$  is left introverted,  $T_\mu f \in SD(S)$ , thus  $T_\mu f \in MD(S) \cap SD(S) = GP(S)$ .

(c) For  $f \in RZ(S)$  we have

$$(L_s f)(t) = f(st) = f(t) \quad (s, t \in S)$$

thus  $L_s f = f$ , and consequently  $(T_\mu f)(s) = \mu(f)$  for all  $s \in S$ . Therefore,  $T_\mu f$  is a constant function.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Suppose that  $F$  and  $G$  are  $m$ -admissible subalgebras of  $LMC(S)$  and  $\{T_\mu f: \mu \in S^F, f \in F\}$  is a subset of  $G$ . Then the mapping  $*$ :  $S^G \times S^F \rightarrow S^F$ , defined by  $(\mu * \nu)(f) = \mu(T_\nu f)$ , is well-defined, and we also have.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_1 \mu_2)^* \nu(f) &= \mu_1 \mu_2(T_\nu f) = \mu_1(T_{\mu_2 * \nu} f) \\ &= \mu_1^*(\mu_2 * \nu)(f) \quad (f \in F, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in S^F), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mu_1^*(\mu_2 * \nu) = (\mu_1 \mu_2)^* \nu \quad (\mu_1, \mu_2 \in S^G \text{ and } \nu \in S^F).$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $F$  and  $G$  be  $m$ -admissible subalgebras of  $LMC(S)$  and let  $\{T_\mu f: f \in F, \mu \in S^F\}$  be a subset of  $G$ . Then there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\theta$  of  $S^G$  onto  $\Sigma(S, S^F)$ .

**Proof.** By Remark 2.1(b)  $(S, S^F, \pi)$  is a flow and  $\pi: S \times S^F \rightarrow S^F$ , defined by  $\pi(s, \nu) = s\nu$ , is separately continuous. Suppose that  $\mu \in S^G$  and  $\nu \in S^F$ , then by Remark 2.3,  $\mu^* \nu \in S^F$ . Let  $\{s_\alpha\}$  be a net in  $S$  such that  $\lim_\alpha s_\alpha = \mu$  and  $\lim_\alpha \pi_{s_\alpha} = \zeta$ , for some  $\zeta \in \Sigma(S, S^F)$ . Note that the limits are taken in  $w^*$ -topology of  $S^G$  and pointwise topology of  $\Sigma(S, S^F)$ , respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^* \nu(f) &= \mu(T_\nu f) = \lim_\alpha \mu(s_\alpha)(T_\nu f) = \lim_\alpha \nu(L_{s_\alpha} f) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \pi_{s_\alpha}(\nu)(f) = \zeta(\nu)(f) \quad (f \in F, \nu \in S^F). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the mapping  $\zeta_\mu: S^F \rightarrow S^F$ , defined by  $\zeta_\mu(\nu) = \mu^* \nu$ , belongs to  $\Sigma(S, S^F)$  for each  $\mu \in S^G$ . Now if  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in S^G$ , by Remark 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mu_1 \mu_2}(\nu)(f) &= (\mu_1 \mu_2)^* \nu(f) = \mu_1 \mu_2(T_\nu f) = \mu_1(T_{\mu_2 * \nu} f) \\ &= \zeta_{\mu_1}(\mu_2 * \nu)(f) = \zeta_{\mu_1}(\zeta_{\mu_2}(\nu)(f)) \quad (f \in F, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in S^G, \nu \in S^F). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the mapping  $\theta: S^G \rightarrow \Sigma(S, S^F)$ , defined by  $\theta(\mu) = \zeta_\mu$ , is a homomorphism.

If  $\zeta \in \Sigma(S, S^F)$ , then there is a net  $\{s_\alpha\}$  in  $S$  such that

$\lim_{\alpha} \pi_{s_{\alpha}} = \zeta$  and  $\lim_{\alpha} \epsilon(s_{\alpha}) = \mu$  for some  $\mu \in S^G$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(v)(f) &= \lim_{\alpha} \pi_{s_{\alpha}}(f) = \lim_{\alpha} \mu(L_{s_{\alpha}} f) = \lim_{\alpha} \epsilon(s_{\alpha})(T_{\alpha} f) \\ &= \mu(T_{\alpha} f) = \mu^* v(f) \quad (f \in F, v \in S^F) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the mapping  $\theta$  is onto. Continuity of  $\theta$  is obvious.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $S$  be a semitopological semigroup and let  $F$  be any one of  $D(S)$ ,  $SD(S)$  or  $RZ(S)$ , then  $\sigma^*(C(\Sigma(S, S^F)))$  is  $MD(S)$ ,  $GP(S)$ , or the set of all constant functions, respectively.

**Proof.** By Remarks 2.1 (b) and (c),  $(S, S^F, \pi)$  is a separately continuous flow and  $(\sigma, \Sigma(S, S^F))$  is a compactification of  $S$ , where  $\sigma: S \rightarrow \Sigma(S, S^F)$  is defined by  $\sigma(s) = \pi_s$ . If  $F$  is  $RZ(S)$ , then by Lemma 2.2 (c),  $\sigma^*(C(\Sigma(S, S^F)))$  is the set of all constant functions.

Now let the pair  $(F, G)$  be  $(D(S), MD(S))$  or  $(SD(S), GP(S))$ . By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4,  $\theta: S^G \rightarrow \Sigma(S, S^F)$ , defined by  $\theta(\mu) = \zeta_{\mu}$ , is a continuous homomorphism of  $S^G$  onto  $\Sigma(S, S^F)$ . Since  $S^G$  is left simple or a group, so is  $\Sigma(S, S^F)$ . Thus  $\sigma^*(C(\Sigma(S, S^F)))$  is a subalgebra of  $MD(S)$  or  $GP(S)$ , respectively.

Now it is enough to show that  $\theta$  is one-to-one. We consider two cases.

**Case 1.**  $F = D(S)$ . Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in S^D$  with  $\zeta_{\mu_1} = \zeta_{\mu_2}$ . For  $f \in MD(S)$  and  $\eta \in S^D$  with  $\eta^2 = \eta$ , we have  $T_{\eta} f = f$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(f) &= \mu_1(T_{\eta} f) = \mu_1^* \eta(f) = \zeta_{\mu_1}(\eta)(f) = \zeta_{\mu_2}(\eta)(f) \\ &= \mu_2^* \eta(f) = \mu_2(T_{\eta} f) = \mu_2(f) \quad (f \in MD(S)), \end{aligned}$$

thus  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

**Case 2.**  $F = SD(S)$ . Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in S^{GP}$  with  $\zeta_{\mu_1} = \zeta_{\mu_2}$ . Suppose that  $\eta$  is the identity of  $S^{GP}$ , then there exists  $\tilde{\eta} \in S^{LMC}$  such that  $T_{\tilde{\eta}} f = T_{\eta} f$  for each  $f \in GP(S)$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(f) &= \mu_1 \eta(f) = \mu_1^* \tilde{\eta}(f) = \mu_1(T_{\tilde{\eta}} f) = \zeta_{\mu_1}(\tilde{\eta})(f) = \zeta_{\mu_2}(\tilde{\eta})(f) \\ &= \mu_2^* \tilde{\eta}(f) = \mu_2 \eta(f) = \mu_2(f) \quad (f \in GP(S)), \end{aligned}$$

thus  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ . So, in any case,  $\theta$  is one-to-one.  $\square$

Now consider the following properties of compactifications  $(\psi, X)$  of a semitopological semigroup  $S$ :

- $(P_1)$   $\Sigma(S, X)$  is a left simple semigroup.
- $(P_2)$   $\Sigma(S, X)$  is a group (or  $(S, X)$  is distal).
- $(P_3)$   $\Sigma(S, X)$  is the trivial singleton semigroup.

By proposition 2.5,  $(\epsilon, S^D)$ ,  $(\epsilon, S^{SD})$  and  $(\epsilon, S^{RZ})$  have the properties  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  and  $P_3$ , respectively.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $S$  be a semitopological semigroup, then

- (a)  $(\epsilon, S^D)$  is the universal  $P_1$ -compactification of  $S$ .
- (b)  $(\epsilon, S^{SD})$  is the universal  $P_2$ -compactification of  $S$ .
- (c)  $(\epsilon, S^{RZ})$  is the universal  $P_3$ -compactification of  $S$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(\psi, X)$  be a  $P_i$ -compactification of  $S$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . By Remark 2.1 (b) and Lemma 2.3,  $\Sigma(S, S^F)$ , where  $F := \psi^*(C(S))$ , is a left simple semigroup, a group or the trivial singleton semigroup for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , respectively.

Let  $\bar{v}$  be the restriction of  $v$  to  $F$  for  $v \in S^{LMC}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

(a) Suppose that  $(\psi, X)$  is a  $P_1$ -compactification of  $S$ . For  $\mu, \nu, \eta \in S^{LMC}$  with  $\eta^2 = \eta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \eta \nu(f) &= (\mu \eta) * \bar{v}(f) = \mu \eta(T_{\eta} f) = \zeta_{\mu \eta}(\bar{v})(f) \\ &= \zeta_{\mu}(\zeta_{\eta}(\bar{v}))(f) = \zeta_{\mu}(\bar{v})(f) = \mu \nu(f) \quad (f \in F). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f \in D(S)$  for each  $f \in F$ .

(b) Suppose that  $(\psi, X)$  is a  $P_2$ -compactification of  $S$ . Since  $\Sigma(S, S^F)$  is a group,  $\zeta_{\eta}$  is the identity function on  $S^F$  for each  $\eta \in S^{LMC}$  with  $\eta^2 = \eta$ . Suppose that  $v \in S^{LMC}$ , then we have

$$\eta \nu(f) = \eta \bar{v}(f) = \zeta_{\eta}(\bar{v})(f) = \bar{v}(f) = \nu(f) \quad (f \in F),$$

thus  $F \subseteq SD(S)$ .

(c) Suppose that  $(\psi, X)$  is a  $P_3$ -compactification of  $S$ . Since  $\Sigma(S, S^F)$  is the trivial singleton semigroup, we have

$$\zeta_{\epsilon(s)}(v) = v \quad (s \in S, v \in S^{RZ})$$

and

$$f(st) = \epsilon(s)\epsilon(t)(f) = \zeta_{\epsilon(s)}(\epsilon(t))(f) = \epsilon(t)(f) = f(t) \quad (s, t \in s, f \in F), \text{ thus } F \subseteq RZ(S). \square$$

Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be the classes of all compactifications  $(\psi, X)$  of  $S$  such that  $X$  has a right identity and an identity, respectively. It is clear that  $(\epsilon, S^{MD})$  and  $(\epsilon, S^{LZ})$  are in  $C_1$ , and  $(\epsilon, S^{GP})$  and  $(\epsilon, S^{SAP})$  are in  $C_2$ .

Suppose that  $(\psi, X)$  is in  $C_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . By Remark 2.3 (c),  $(\sigma, \Sigma(S, X)) \cong (\psi, X)$ ; thus by Proposition 1.1, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $S$  be a semitopological semigroup. Then

- (a)  $(\epsilon, S^{MD})$  is universal among  $P_1$ -compactifications in  $C_1$ .

(b)  $(\varepsilon, S^{GP})$  is universal among  $P_2$ -compactifications in  $C_2$ .

(c)  $(\varepsilon, S^{LZ})$  is universal among compactifications in  $C_1$  for which  $\Sigma(S, X)$  is a left zero semigroup.

It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.7(b) that  $(\varepsilon, S^{SAP})$  is universal among  $P_2$ -compactifications  $(\psi, X)$  in  $C_2$  for which  $\Sigma(S, X)$  is a topological semigroup.

We would like to mention that Lawson [4] contains interesting and detailed results concerning the interrelation between flows and compactifications. Our results however, have a different orientation and are of independent interest.

### References

1. Berglund, J.F., Junghenn, H.D. and Milnes, P. "Analysis on semigroups", Wiley, New York, (1989).
2. Junghenn, H.D. Distal compactifications of semigroups, *Tran. Amer. Math. Soc.* **274**, 379-397, (1982).
3. Lau, A.T. "Action of topological semigroups, invariant means, and fixed points", *Studia Mathematica*, T. XLIII., 139-156, (1972).
4. J.D. Lawson, J.D., "Flows and compactifications", *J. London Math. Soc.* **46**, 349-363, (1992).