

DERIVATIONS OF TENSOR PRODUCT OF SIMPLE C*-ALGEBRAS

M. Mirzavaziri¹ and A. Niknam²

¹Department of Mathematics, Damghan University, Damghan, Islamic Republic of Iran

²Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159-91775,
Islamic Republic of Iran

Abstract

In this paper we study the properties of derivations of $A \otimes_{\gamma} B$, where A and B are simple separable C*-algebras, and $A \otimes_{\gamma} B$ is the C*-completion of $A \otimes B$ with respect to a C*-norm γ on $A \otimes B$ and we will characterize the derivations of $A \otimes_{\gamma} B$ in terms of the derivations of A and B .

1. Introduction

A C*-algebra A is said to be a uniformly hyperfinite C*-Algebra (UHF algebra) if there is an increasing sequence $\{A_n\}$ of finite type-I subfactors (i. e. finite dimensional full matrix algebras) such that $\iota \in A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A_n \subseteq \dots$, where ι is the identity of A and the uniform closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is A . Let A be a UHF algebra and $t \mapsto \alpha_t$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of *-automorphisms on A (for a full definition in detail, one can see example [4]). The system $\{A, \alpha\}$ is called a C*-dynamics with A . A C*-dynamics $\{A, \alpha\}$ is said to be approximately inner if there is a sequence $\{h_n\}$ of self-adjoint elements in A such that $(1 - \delta_{ihn})^{-1} \rightarrow (1 - \delta)^{-1}$ strongly, where $\alpha_t = \exp(t\delta)$ and $\delta_{ihn}(x) = i[h_n, x]$. Powers and Sakai have conjectured that any C*-dynamics $\{A, \alpha\}$ with a UHF algebra A is approximately inner (see [4], 4. 5. 9).

If $d: A \otimes_{\gamma} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\gamma} B$ is a derivation, where A and B are C*-algebras and $A \otimes_{\gamma} B$ is the C*-completion of $A \otimes B$ with respect to a C*-norm γ on $A \otimes B$, then we can write

$d(x \otimes y) = d((x \otimes \iota)(\iota \otimes y)) = d(x \otimes \iota)(\iota \otimes y) + (x \otimes \iota) d(\iota \otimes y)$, where ι is the identity of A (in $\iota \otimes y$) or the identity of B (in $x \otimes \iota$). This motivates us to consider $d(x \otimes \iota)$ and $d(\iota \otimes y)$ and study the properties of this component restrictions. We add precision to these notions in the following and find the relations between them.

In the following, A and B are simple C*-algebras with a countable basis. We know that each simple C*-algebra A has the property $A' = C_{\iota}$, where A' is the center of A and ι is the identity of A (see[2]).

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A linear map $\delta: D(\delta) \rightarrow A$, where $D(\delta)$ is a dense subalgebra of A , is called a *derivation* if for each $x, y \in D(\delta)$, $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$. It is called a **-derivation* if it also satisfies $\delta(x^*) = \delta(x)^*$. For a fixed element $a \in A$, we can define $\delta_a: A \rightarrow A$ by $\delta_a(x) = [a, x] = ax - xa$. It can be shown that δ_a is a derivation. A derivation δ is called an *inner derivation* if $\delta = \delta_a$ for some $a \in A$. A derivation δ is called *approximately inner* if it is the limit of a sequence of inner derivations.

Definition 2.2. A linear map $\delta_1: D(\delta_1) \rightarrow A \otimes_{\gamma} B$, where $D(\delta_1) = A$, is called an *A-derivation with respect to $A \otimes_{\gamma} B$* if it satisfies $\delta_1(xz) = \delta_1(x)(z \otimes \iota) + (x \otimes \iota)\delta_1(z)$. It is called a **-A-derivation with respect to $A \otimes_{\gamma} B$* if it also satisfies $\delta_1(\delta(x^*)) = ((\delta_1(x))^*)$. Analogously a linear map $\delta_2: D(\delta_2) \rightarrow A$

Keywords: Simple C*-algebra; Tensor product of C*-algebras; UHF algebra; A-derivation; Compatible derivation

AMS subject Classification (1997): 46L57

¹E-mail: vaziri@science2.um.ac.ir

²E-mail: niknam@science2.um.ac.ir

$\otimes \mathcal{B}$, where $D(\delta_2)=\mathcal{B}$, is called a B -derivation with respect to $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$ if it satisfies $\delta_2(yw)=\delta_2(y)(t \otimes w)+(t \otimes y)\delta_2(w)$. It is called a $*$ - B -derivation with respect to $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$ if it also satisfies $\delta_2(y^*)=(\delta_2(y))^*$.

Example 2.1. Let $c \in A \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and define $\delta_1: A \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{B}$ by $\delta_1(x)=\delta_c(x \otimes t)=[c, x \otimes t]=c(x \otimes t)-(x \otimes t)c$. Then δ_1 is an A -derivation with respect to $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$, which we call it an inner A -derivation with respect to $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, if c is self-adjoint then $i \delta_1$ is a $*$ - A -derivation with respect to $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$, which we call an inner $*$ - A -derivation with respect to $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$.

Definition 2.3. Let δ_1 and δ_2 be A -derivation and B -derivations with respect to $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$, respectively, then δ_1 is said to be compatible with δ_2 if the map $d: A \otimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{B}$ defined by $d(x \otimes y)=\delta_1(x)(t \otimes y)+(x \otimes t)\delta_2(y)$ is a derivation of $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$. In this case we say that δ_1 and δ_2 are the first and the second component restrictions of d , respectively.

Example 2.2. Let $c \in A \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and $\delta_1(x)=\delta_c(x \otimes t)$ and $\delta_2(y)=\delta_c(t \otimes y)$. Then δ_1 is compatible with δ_2 and we have $\delta_1(x)(t \otimes y)+(x \otimes t)\delta_2(y)=\delta_c(x \otimes y)$.

Example 2.3. Let ζ and ξ be two derivations of A and B , respectively. Then $\delta_1(x)=\zeta(x) \otimes t$ is compatible with $\delta_2(y)=t \otimes \xi(y)$, since $d=\zeta \otimes I_B + I_A \otimes \xi$ is a derivation of $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$, where I_A and I_B are the identity maps of A and B , respectively (see[3]).

Lemma 2.1. δ_1 is compatible with δ_2 if and only if $\delta_{(x \otimes t)}(\delta_2(\delta_2(y)))=\delta_{(t \otimes y)}(\delta_1(x))$ for each $x \in D(\delta_1)$ and $y \in D(\delta_2)$.

Proof. Let δ_1 be compatible with δ_2 . Then $d(x \otimes y)=\delta_1(x)(t \otimes y)+(x \otimes t)\delta_2(y)$ is a derivation of $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \otimes y) &= d((x \otimes t)(t \otimes y)) \\ &= (\delta_1(x)(t \otimes t) + (x \otimes t)\delta_2(t))(t \otimes y) + \\ &\quad (x \otimes t)(\delta_1(t)(t \otimes y) + (t \otimes t)\delta_2(y)) \\ &= \delta_1(x)(t \otimes y) + (x \otimes t)\delta_2(y) \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \otimes y) &= d((t \otimes y)(x \otimes t)) \\ &= (\delta_1(t)(t \otimes y) + (t \otimes t)\delta_2(y))(x \otimes t) + \\ &\quad (t \otimes y)(\delta_1(x)(t \otimes t) + (x \otimes t)\delta_2(t)) \\ &= \delta_2(y)(x \otimes t) + (t \otimes y)\delta_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\delta_1(x)(t \otimes y) + (x \otimes t)\delta_2(y) = \delta_2(y)(x \otimes t) + (t \otimes y)\delta_1(x).$$

And so we have

$$\delta_{(x \otimes t)}(\delta_2(y)) = \delta_{(t \otimes y)}(\delta_1(x)).$$

Conversely if $\delta_{(x \otimes t)}(\delta_2(y)) = \delta_{(t \otimes y)}(\delta_1(x))$ then $d(x \otimes y) = \delta_1(x)(t \otimes y) + (x \otimes t)\delta_2(y)$ is a derivation of $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$, since

$$\begin{aligned} d((x \otimes y)(z \otimes \omega)) &= d(xz \otimes y\omega) \\ &= \delta_1(x)(z \otimes y\omega) + (x \otimes t)(\delta_1(z) \\ &\quad (t \otimes y) + (z \otimes t)\delta_2(y))(t \otimes \omega) + (xz \otimes y)\delta_2(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

But $\delta_1(z)(t \otimes y) + (z \otimes t)\delta_2(y)\delta_2(y)(z \otimes t) + (t \otimes y)\delta_1(z)$. So we have $d((x \otimes y)(z \otimes \omega)) = (\delta_1(x)(t \otimes y) + (x \otimes t)\delta_2(y))(z \otimes \omega) + (x \otimes y)\delta_1(z)(t \otimes \omega) + (z \otimes t)\delta_2(\omega)$.

And the requirement is met. \square

Definition 2.4. If δ_1 and δ_2' are compatible with δ_1 , then we say that δ_2 is δ_1 -compatible to δ_2' and we write $\delta_2 = \delta_2' \pmod{\delta_1}$. We denote the set of B -derivations compatible with δ_1 by $[\delta_1]_B$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $c \in A \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and for each $x \in A, \delta_{(x \otimes t)}(c) = 0$. Then $c = t \otimes b$ for some $b \in B$. Moreover $\delta_2 = \delta_2' \pmod{\delta_1}$ if and only if for some derivation ξ of $B, (\delta_2 - \delta_2')(y) = t \otimes \xi(y)$ for each $y \in B$.

Proof. Let $c = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \otimes b_j$, where b_j 's are linearly independent. Now we have

$$0 = \delta_c(x \otimes t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta_{a_j}(x) \otimes b_j.$$

This implies $\delta_{a_j}(x) = 0$ for each $x \in A$, so a_j is in the center of A which is equal to C . Thus $a_j = \alpha_j t$ for some complex number α_j . Hence $c = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j t \otimes b_j = t \otimes (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j b_j) = t \otimes b$

where $b = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j b_j$.

To prove the second assertion of the Lemma, we have $\delta_{(x \otimes t)}(\delta_2(y)) = \delta_{(t \otimes y)}(\delta_1(x)) = \delta_{(x \otimes t)}(\delta_2'(y))$.

We can therefore deduce that $\delta_{(x \otimes t)}(\delta_2(y) - \delta_2'(y)) = 0$ for each $x \in A$. Thus $\delta_2(y) - \delta_2'(y) = t \otimes b_y$, for some $b_y \in B$. But we have

$$\begin{aligned} t \otimes b_{y\omega} &= \delta_2(y\omega) - \delta_2'(y\omega) \\ &= (\delta_2(y) - \delta_2'(y))(t \otimes \omega) + (t \otimes y)(\delta_2(\omega) - \delta_2'(\omega)) = t \otimes (b_y \omega + y b_\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $b_{y\omega} = b_{y\omega} + y b_\omega$, and we may take $\xi(y) = b_y$. \square

Lemma 2.3. Let δ_1 be an A -derivation with respect to $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and there is an inner B -derivation δ_2 in $[\delta_1]_B$. Suppose that each derivation of B is inner. Then each B -derivation $\delta_2 \in [\delta_1]_B$ is inner. Let δ_1 be an inner A -derivation with respect to $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and each derivation of B is inner. Then there is an inner B -derivation with respect to $A \otimes \mathcal{B}$, say δ_2 , such that δ_1 is compatible with δ_2 . Moreover, each

B -derivation $\delta_2 \in [\delta_1]_B$ is inner.

Proof. We have $(\delta_2 - \delta_1)(y) = \iota \otimes \xi(y)$ for some derivation ξ of B . But $\xi = \delta_b$ for some $b \in B$ and we have $(\delta_2 - \delta_1)(y) = \iota \otimes \delta_b(y) = \delta_{(\iota \otimes b)}(\iota \otimes y)$. Now since δ_2 is inner, there is a $c \in A \otimes B$ such that $\delta_2(y) = \delta_c(\iota \otimes y)$. Thus $(\delta_2)(y) = \delta_2(y) - \delta_{(\iota \otimes b)}(\iota \otimes y) = \delta_{(c - \iota \otimes b)}(\iota \otimes y)$. This proves the first part of the Lemma.

Now if $\delta_1(x) = \delta_c(x \otimes \iota)$ for some $c \in A \otimes B$, then we may put $\delta_2(y) = \delta_c(\iota \otimes y)$. \square

Remark 2.1. We can easily extend the above Lemma to the case of approximately inner derivations.

Remark 2.2 We may extend the above notion, inductively, to finite tensor product $\otimes_{j=1}^n A_j$, and we may define A_j -derivation with respect to $\otimes_{j=1}^n A_j$. Moreover we can extend

this to infinite tensor product $\otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$.

We finish this section with the following Theorem of Sakai (see[4]) which helps us prove our main result. Note that we say δ is a derivation on A whenever $D(\delta) = A$.

Theorem 2.1. Every derivation on a simple C^* -algebra is inner.

3. Results

We now aim to characterize the derivations of $A \otimes B$ in terms of the derivations of A and B , but prior to that we characterize the A -derivations with respect to $A \otimes B$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be two bases for A and B , and δ_1, δ_2 be A -derivation and B -derivations with respect to $A \otimes B$, respectively. Then there are sequences $\{\zeta_j\}$ and $\{\xi_j\}$ of derivations of A and B , respectively, such that

$$\delta_1(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta_j \otimes f_j \text{ and } \delta_2(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_j \otimes \xi_j(y).$$

Proof. We write $\delta_1(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta_j \otimes f_j$, where $\zeta_j(x) \in A$. Now for each $x, z \in D(\delta_1)$ we have

$$\delta_1(xz) = \delta_1(x)(z \otimes \iota) + (x \otimes \iota)\delta_1(z).$$

So

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\zeta_j(xz) - (\zeta_j(x)z + x \zeta_j(z))) \otimes f_j = 0.$$

And since f_j s are linearly independent, we have

$$(\zeta_j(xz) - (\zeta_j(x)z + x \zeta_j(z))).$$

¹The notation \otimes denotes the C^* -tensor product under a C^* -norm.

By the same argument we can show $\delta_2(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_j \otimes \xi_j$ (y) for some sequence $\{\xi_j\}$ of derivations of B . \square

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $\delta_1(x) = \zeta(x) \otimes b$ is an A -derivation with respect to $A \otimes B$, where ζ is a derivation $[\delta_1]_B$ of A and b is an element of B . Let also $[\delta_1]$ is non-void. Then ζ is approximately inner or $b = \beta \iota$ for some $\beta \in C$.

Proof. Let $\delta_2 \in [\delta_1]_B$, and $\{e_j\}, \{f_j\}$ be two bases for A and B , respectively. By the above Theorem we can write

$\delta_2(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_j \otimes \xi_j(y)$ for some sequence $\{\xi_j\}$ of derivations of B . Now by Lemma 2.1 we must have $\delta_{(\iota \otimes b)} \delta_2(y) = \delta_{(\iota \otimes b)}(\delta_1(x))$. Hence

$$\zeta(x) \otimes \delta_b(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta_{e_j}(x) \otimes \xi_j(y).$$

We write $\xi_j(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{jk}(y) f_k$, where $\alpha_{jk}(y) \in C$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(x) \otimes \delta_b(y) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta_{e_j}(x) \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{jk}(y) f_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{jk}(y) \delta_{e_j}(x)) \otimes f_k. \end{aligned}$$

We can also write $\delta_b(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k(y) f_k$, where $\alpha_k(y) \in C$. So we must have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k(y) \zeta(x) \otimes f_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{jk}(y) \delta_{e_j}(x)) \otimes f_k.$$

And since f_k 's are linearly independent, we can therefore deduce that

$$\alpha_k(y) \zeta(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{jk}(y) \delta_{e_j}(x) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (*)$$

Now if $b \notin C$, then $b \notin B'$ and we can find a $y \in B$ such that $\delta_b(y) \neq 0$. This shows that $\alpha_k(y) \neq 0$ for some natural number k , and so by (*) we can deduce that $\zeta(x) =$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{jk}(y)}{\alpha_k(y)} \delta_{e_j}(x), \text{ which is approximately inner. } \square$$

In the following we suppose that $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ are Schauder bases of A and B , where e_0 and f_0 are the identities of A and B , respectively.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\delta_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta_j(x) \otimes f_j$ be compatible

with $\delta_2(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_j \otimes \xi_j(y)$. Then ζ_j and ξ_j are inner derivations on A and B , respectively, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we must have:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta_j(x) \otimes \delta_{e_j}(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_{e_j}(x) \otimes \xi_j(y) \quad (\dagger)$$

for each $x \in D(\delta_1)$ and $y \in D(\delta_2)$. The left-hand side of (†) is defined for each $y \in A$, and hence so is the right-hand side. This shows that $\xi_j(y)$ is defined for each $y \in A$ provided that $\delta_{e_j}(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in D(\delta_1)$. But for each $j \neq 0$, we can find an $x \in D(\delta_1)$ with $\delta_{e_j}(x) \neq 0$, since otherwise, if $\delta_{e_j}(x) = 0$ for each $x \in D(\delta_1)$, then $\delta_{e_j}(x) = 0$ for each $x \in A$, and so $e_j \in A' = C1$, which is impossible since e_j 's are linearly independent. We can therefore deduce that ξ_j 's are everywhere defined for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and Theorem 2.1 implies that ξ_j 's are inner. \square

For each derivation ζ of A and ξ of B , denoting by $\zeta \otimes \xi$ the derivation mentioned in Example 2.3, i. e. $\zeta \otimes \xi = \zeta \otimes I_B + I_A \otimes \xi$, we have

Theorem 3.4. Let $d: D(d) \subseteq A \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes B$ be a derivation. Then $d = \zeta \otimes \xi + \delta$, where δ is an approximately inner derivation of $A \otimes B$.

Proof. Let δ_1 and δ_2 be the first and the second component restrictions of d , respectively. By the above Theorem we can write

$$\delta_1(x) = \zeta(x) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta_{a_j}(x) \otimes f_j$$

where $a_j \in A$. Define δ_2 by $\delta_2(y) = 1 \otimes \xi'(y) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \otimes \delta_{f_j}(y)$, where ξ' is an arbitrary derivation of B . Then $\delta_2 \in [\delta_1]_B$, because if $d'(x \otimes y)$

$$= \delta_1(x)(1 \otimes y) + (x \otimes 1)\delta_2(y), \text{ then } d'(x \otimes y) = (\zeta \otimes \xi')$$

$$(x \otimes y) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta(a_j \otimes f_j)(x \otimes y).$$

Now $= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta(a_j \otimes f_j)$ is an approximately inner derivation of $A \otimes B$ and we have

$$d' = \zeta \otimes \xi' + \delta.$$

But since $\delta_2 = \delta_2' \pmod{\delta_1}$, by Lemma 2.2 there is a derivation ξ'' of B such that $\delta_2 = \delta_2' + 1 \otimes \xi''$. so we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \otimes y) &= \delta_1(x)(1 \otimes y) + (x \otimes 1)\delta_2(y) \\ &= d'(x \otimes y) + 1 \otimes \xi''(y) \\ &= ((\zeta \otimes (\xi' + \xi'')) + \delta)(x \otimes y) \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\xi = \xi' + \xi''$ completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.1. If the derivations of A and B are approximately inner, then so are the derivations of $A \otimes B$.

Remark 3.1. Powers and Sakai have conjectured that each strongly continuous one-parameter group of *-automorphisms of a UHF algebra is approximately inner. In [1] it is shown that the conjecture can be reduced to a certain UHF algebra A_{∞} , namely the Glimm algebra of rank $\{s(n) = p_1 \dots p_n\}$, where p_j is the j -th prime number. The above Corollary helps us to reduce the conjecture again to the UHF algebras A and B with $A \otimes B = A_{\infty}$. But $A_{\infty} = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{p_j}$, where M_p is the matrix algebra of $p \times p$ matrices over \mathbb{C} . This motivates us to extend our results to the case of countable tensor product of simple C^* -algebras.

References

1. Mirzavaziri, M. and Niknam, A. *Reducing the conjecture of Sakai to a certain UHF algebra*. Unpublished. Presented in 29th Annual Conference on Mathematics.
2. Murphy, G. J. *C^* -algebras and operator theory*. Academic Press, London, New York, (1990).
3. Niknam, A. *Infinitesimal generators of C^* -algebras*. *Potential Analysis*, 6, 1-9, (1997).
4. Sakai, S. *Operator algebras in dynamical systems*. Cambridge University Press, (1991).