

SOME RESULTS FOR SOLUTION AND FOCAL POINTS OF NONSELFADJOINT SECOND ORDER SYSTEMS

S. Fariabi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran

Abstract

Consider $y''(t) + A(t)y(t) = 0$, y is a real n -dimensional vector and $A(t)$ is a real $n \times n$ matrix, continuous on some interval. Some positivity properties of solutions and conjugate points of $y''(t) + A(t)y(t) = 0$ appeared in literature. We prove similar results for focal points.

Introduction

The differential equations to be considered in this paper have the form

$$(1) \quad x''(t) + A(t)x(t) = 0$$

where x is a real n -dimensional vector, $A(t)$ is a real $n \times n$ matrix continuous on some interval.

Ahmad in [1] and Ahmad and Lazer in [2] have proved some results for conjugate points relative to (1), as where we prove the corresponding results for focal points relative to (1).

Preliminary Notations and Results

Definition 2.1. A number $b, b > a$, is called a focal point of a relative to (1) if there exists a nontrivial solution $x(t)$ of (1) with property that $x'(a) = x(b) = 0$.

Definition 2.2 A point b is said to be the first focal point of a point a if and only if b is a focal point of a and there is no focal point of a smaller than b .

Definition 2.3. Equation (1) is said to be disfocal on an interval I if any nontrivial solution of it which has a derivative of zero at some point of I has no zero to the right of that point on I .

Definition 2.4. Matrix $A(t) = (a_{ij}(t))$ is called irreducible if it is impossible to have $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = I \cup J, I \cap J = \emptyset, I \neq \emptyset \neq J$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ for all

$i \in I, j \in J$.

Throughout this paper, we make extensive use of Green's function for the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} x''(t) &= -f(t) \\ x'(a) &= x(b) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $a < b$. Recall that

$$G(s, t) = \begin{cases} b-t, & a \leq s \leq t \leq b, \\ b-s, & a \leq t \leq s \leq b. \end{cases}$$

The function G is continuous on the square $a \leq s \leq b, a \leq t \leq b$. If $f(t)$ is a continuous real valued

function defined for $a \leq t \leq b$ and if $x(t) = \int_a^b G(s, t) f(s) ds$ then $x(t)$ is of class C^2 on $[a, b]$, $x''(t) = -f(t)$ and $x'(a) = x(b) = 0$.

Given two vectors $x = \text{col}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = \text{col}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ in R^n , we write $x \leq y$ ($x < y$) if for each $k, k = 1, \dots, n, x_k \leq y_k$ ($x_k < y_k$). Let a be a fixed number, for any $b > a$ we let

$$K(b) = \{\text{continuous functions}$$

$u: [a, b] \rightarrow R^n \mid u'(a) = 0 = u(b) \text{ and } 0 \leq u(t) \text{ for all } t \text{ in } (a, b)\}$. Let $A(t) = (a_{ij}(t))$ be an $n \times n$ continuous matrix defined on $[a, b]$. Assume that $a_{ij}(t) > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n$ and $t \in [a, b]$ except possibly

Keywords: Differential systems; Focal points

on a set of measure zero. If $u: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, we define $(Tu)(t)$ by

$$(Tu)(t) = \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) u(s) ds.$$

It follows immediately that

$$T(u+v) = Tu + Tv,$$

$$T(cu) = cTu, c \in \mathbb{R}$$

$u \in K$ implies $Tu \in K$,

$u \in K, u(t) \neq 0$ implies $0 < (Tu)(t), t \in (a, b)$

Let $\Lambda(b) = \{\text{real numbers } \lambda \mid \text{there exists } u \in K(b), u \neq 0, \text{ and } u(t) \leq \lambda T(u)(t) \text{ for } t \in (a, b)\}$.

Lemma 2.1.

$\Lambda(b) \neq \emptyset$. If $\lambda_0(b) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda(b)\}$, then $\lambda_0(b) > 0$.

Lemma 2.2.

There exists $u \in K(b), u \neq 0$, such that $u(t) = \lambda_0(b) (Tu)(t)$ on $[a, b]$.

Lemma 2.3. If there exists $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda(b)$ and $w \in K(b); w(t) \neq 0$, such that

$$w(t) = \lambda_1 (Tw)(t) \text{ for } t \in [a, b]$$

then $\lambda_1(b) = \lambda_0(b)$.

Lemma 2.4. If $a < b_1 < b_2$, then $\lambda_0(b_2) < \lambda_0(b_1)$.

Lemma 2.5. The function $\lambda_0(b)$ is continuous on (a, ∞) and $\lambda_0(b) \rightarrow \infty$ as $b \rightarrow a$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $A(t) = (a_{ij}(t))$ and $\hat{A}(t) = (\hat{a}_{ij}(t))$ be $n \times n$ matrices which are continuous on $[a, b]$ and for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n, 0 < a_{ij}(t) \leq \hat{a}_{ij}(t)$ on (a, b) . For $u \in K(b)$ let

$$(Tu)(t) = \int_a^b G(s,t) \hat{A}(s) u(s) ds.$$

and $\hat{\Lambda}$ be the set of numbers $\hat{\lambda}$ such that $u(t) < \hat{\lambda} (Tu)(t), t \in (a, b)$ for some $u \in K(b), u \neq 0$. If $\hat{\lambda}_0(b) = \inf \{\hat{\lambda} \mid \hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}\}$, then $\hat{\lambda}_0(b) \leq \lambda_0(b)$.

Note: The above results have been proven in [5].

Main Theorems

Theorem 3.1. Let $A(t) = (a_{ij}(t))$ and $B(t) = (b_{ij}(t))$ be two continuous $n \times n$ matrices defined on $[a, b]$ such that

$$0 \leq b_{ij}(t) \leq a_{ij}(t), t \in [a, b], 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n$$

and for some

$$\bar{t} \in (a, b), 0 \leq b_{ij}(\bar{t}) < a_{ij}(\bar{t}), 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n. \text{ Suppose}$$

$$x'' + B(t)x = 0, x(t) \neq 0, x'(a) = x'(b) = 0.$$

Assertion. There exists a solution of

$u'' + A(t)u = 0, u'(a) = u'(b) = 0, u(t) \neq 0$ with $a < c < b$, and $u \in K(c)$.

Proof. For $t \in [a, b]$, we have

$$x(t) = \int_a^b G(s,t) B(s) x(s) ds.$$

If $x(t) = \text{col}(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$, let $w(t) = \text{col}(|x_1(t)|, \dots, |x_n(t)|)$.

Then $w \in K(b)$ and $w \neq 0$. For $k=1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_k(t) = |x_k(t)| &= \left| \int_a^b G(s,t) \sum_{j=1}^n b_{kj}(s) x_j(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_a^b G(s,t) \sum_{j=1}^n b_{kj}(s) |x_j(s)| ds \\ &= \int_a^b G(s,t) \sum_{j=1}^n b_{kj}(s) w_j(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the uniqueness theorem for differential equation, the components of $w(t)$ cannot vanish simultaneously on any subinterval of $[a, b]$ since $x(t) \neq 0$. Thus since $b_{kj}(s) \leq a_{kj}(s), s \in (a, b)$, and $b_{kj}(\bar{t}) < a_{kj}(\bar{t})$, we have

$$\int_a^b G(s,t) \sum_{j=1}^n b_{kj} w_j(s) ds < \int_a^b G(s,t) \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj}(s) w_j(s) ds$$

for

$$t \in [a, b]. \text{ Hence, we have (2) } 0 \leq w(t) < \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) w(s) dt$$

$$\text{for } t \in [a, b]. \text{ Let } A_m(t) = (a_{ij}(t) + \frac{1}{m}).$$

As the element of A_m are strictly positive on $[a, b]$, for $m \geq 1$, we have,

$$(3) 0 \leq w(t) < \int_a^b G(s,t) A_m(s) w(s) ds,$$

for $t \in (a, b)$. For each $m \geq 1$ and $d \in (a, b]$, define

$$(T_m^d u)(t) = \int_a^d G(s,t,d) A_m(s) u(s) ds$$

for $u \in K(d)$; let $A_m(d)$ be the set of numbers λ such that

$$u(t) \leq \lambda (T_m^d u)(t) \text{ for } t \in [a, d], \text{ and let}$$

$$\lambda_{0m}(d) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in A_m(d)\}.$$

If $m_1 < m_2$ then each element of $A_{m_1}(t)$ is greater than

the corresponding element of $A_{m_2}(t)$, so by Lemma 2.6

$$(4) \quad m_1 < m_2 \text{ implies } \lambda_{0m_1}(d) \leq \lambda_{0m_2}(d).$$

From (3) we see that $1 \in A_m(b)$ for all m , and hence $\lambda_{0m}(b) \leq 1$ for all m . As $\lambda_{0m}(d)$ is continuous, decreasing in d , and $\lambda_{0m}(d) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $d \rightarrow a$, there exists a unique $d_m \in (a, b)$ such that $\lambda_{0m}(d_m) = 1$.

Moreover by (4) it follows that

$$a < d_{m_1} \leq d_{m_2} \text{ if } m_1 < m_2.$$

Hence, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d_m = c$ for some $c \in (a, b)$. By Lemma

2.2 there exists $u_m \in K(d_m)$, $u_m \neq 0$, such that

$$u_m(t) = \lambda_{0m}(d_m) \int_a^{d_m} G(s,t,d_m) A_m(s) u_m(s) ds$$

$$= \int_a^{d_m} G(s,t,d_m) A_m(s) u_m(s) ds.$$

Hence $\hat{u}_m + A_m u_m = 0$, $\hat{u}'_m(a) = u_m(d_m) = 0$. Without loss of generality as in the proof of Lemma 2.5

$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_m(a) = k \neq 0$. As $A_m(t) \rightarrow A(t)$ uniformly on

$[a, b)$ it follows that if $u(t)$ is a solution of the initial value problem $u'' + A(t)u = 0$, $u'(a) = 0$, $u(a) = k$, then $u_m(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ uniformly on compact subinterval of $[a, \infty)$.

Hence

$$u(c) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_m(d_m) = 0;$$

obviously $u \in K(c)$. To complete the proof we must show that $c < b$. Assume on the contrary that $c = b$, so that

$$(5) \quad u(t) = \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) u(s) ds.$$

$$\text{let } v(t) = \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) w(s) ds.$$

Then v is of class C^2 on $[a, b]$. According to (2), $0 \leq w(t) < v(t)$, $t \in [a, b)$. Hence, by the nonnegativity of the elements $A(s)$, $s \in (a, b)$, the strict positivity of $A(t)$, and the strict positivity of $G(s,t)$ for $a < s < b$, $a < t < b$, it follows that for $t \in (a, b)$,

$$(6) \quad v(t) = \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) w(s) ds < \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) v(s) ds.$$

Similarly,

$$(7) \quad - \int_a^b A(s) v(s) ds < - \int_a^b A(s) w(s) ds = v'(b).$$

Since, by the uniqueness theorem, the components of $u(t)$ cannot vanish simultaneously on any open subinterval of (a, b) , the same type of reasoning shows that

$$0 < u(t), t \in [a, b)$$

$$u'(b) = - \int_a^b A(s) u(s) ds.$$

As $v(b) = u(b) = 0$, if $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently small, then

$$(8) \quad 0 < u(t) - \alpha v(t), t \in [a, b)$$

and

$$(9) \quad u'(b) - \alpha v'(b) < 0,$$

If $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ is the least upper bound of the number α such that (8) and (9) hold then by continuity

$$(10) \quad 0 \leq u(t) - \bar{\alpha} v(t), t \in [a, b).$$

and

$$(11) \quad u'(b) - \bar{\alpha} v'(b) \leq 0$$

and such that for some k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, one of the following two possibilities must hold:

If $u = \text{col}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$, $v = \text{col}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$, either

$$(12) \quad u_k(\bar{t}) - \bar{\alpha} v_k(\bar{t}) = 0 \text{ for some } \bar{t}, a \leq \bar{t} < b,$$

or

$$(13) \quad u_k(b) - \bar{\alpha} v_k(b) = 0.$$

However, as $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ we see from (5), (6) and (10),

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) u(s) ds,$$

and by (6)

$$- \bar{\alpha} v(t) > - \bar{\alpha} \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) u(s) ds$$

therefore,

$$u(t) - \bar{\alpha} v(t) > \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) u(s) ds - \bar{\alpha} \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) v(s) ds$$

$$= \int_a^b G(s,t) A(s) [u(s) - \bar{\alpha} v(s)] ds,$$

hence (11) is impossible.

Similarly, by (5), (7) and (11)

$$u'(b) = - \int_a^b A(s) u(s) ds,$$

$$- \bar{\alpha} v'(b) < \bar{\alpha} \int_a^b A(s) v(s) ds, \text{ hence}$$

$$u'(b) - \bar{\alpha} v(b) < - \int_a^b A(s) u(s) ds + \bar{\alpha} \int_a^b A(s) v(s) ds$$

$$= - \int_a^b A(s) |u(s) - \bar{\alpha} v(s)| ds \leq 0,$$

which rules out (13). This contradiction gives the result.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that the $n \times n$ matrix $B(t) = (b_{ij}(t))$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and that $b_{ij}(t) \geq 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. And let b be the first focal point of a . There exists a nontrivial solution $u(t) = \text{col}(u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t))$ of

$$x''(t) + B(t)x(t) = 0$$

such that $u'(a) = u(b) = 0$ and $u_k(t) \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $t \in [a, b]$.

Proof. For each integer $m=1, 2, \dots$, let $B_m(t) = (b_{ij}(t) + \frac{1}{m})$. Let $x(t)$ be a nontrivial solution of the boundary value problem $x''(t) + B(t)x(t) = 0, x'(a) = x(b) = 0$, and assume there exists no nontrivial solution of the boundary value problem $x''(t) + B(t)x(t) = 0, x'(a) = x(c) = 0$, if $a < c < b$. As every element of $B_m(t)$, is strictly greater than the corresponding element of $B(t)$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that there exists a nontrivial solution of the boundary value problem $u_m''(t) + B_m(t)u_m(t) = 0, u_m(a) = u_m(c_m) = 0$, such that $a < c_m < b$ and such that $u_m(t) \in K(c_m)$. As

$$(14) \quad u_m(t) = \int_a^{c_m} G(s, t, c_m) B_m(s) u_m(s) ds,$$

for $a \leq t \leq c_m$. Let

$$\| B_m(s) \| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n (b_{ij}(s) + \frac{1}{m})$$

and $u_m(t) = \text{col}(u_{m1}(t), \dots, u_{mn}(t))$, let $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $t \in [a, c_m]$ be such that

$$u_{mk}(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \max_{a \leq t \leq c_m} u_{mi}(t)$$

From (14) it follows that

$$u_{mk}(t) \leq \int_a^{c_m} G(s, t, c_m) \sum_{j=1}^n (b_{kj}(s) + \frac{1}{m}) u_{mj}(s) ds$$

$$\leq u_{mk}(t) \int_a^{c_m} G(s, t, c_m) \sum_{j=1}^n (b_{kj}(s) + \frac{1}{m}) ds$$

$$\leq u_{mk}(t) (c_m - a) \int_a^{c_m} \| B_m(s) \| ds$$

and hence

$$(15) \quad 1 \geq \frac{1}{(c_m - a) \int_a^{c_m} \| B_m(s) \| ds}$$

thus, since $\| B_m(t) \| = n/m + \| B(s) \|$ is bounded independently of m , we infer the existence of a number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$a + \delta \leq c_m < b \quad m \geq 1.$$

As in proof of Theorem 3.1 we may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$u_m(a) \rightarrow k \neq 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

and that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} c_m = c$ with $a + \delta \leq c \leq b$. If

$$u''(t) + B(t)u(t) = 0,$$

$$u'(a) = 0 \text{ and } u(a) = k$$

then the sequence $\{u_m(t)\}_1^\infty$ converges uniformly to $u(t)$ on $[a, b]$ and hence $u(c) = 0$. If $c < b$ we would have a contradiction to the previous assumption concerning b . If $a < t < b$ then $t < c_m$ for sufficiently large m and as $u_m \in K(c_m), 0 \leq u_m(t)$. Hence $0 \leq u(t)$ so $u \in K(b)$ and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.3. Let $A(t) = (a_{ij}(t))$ be an $n \times n$ matrix which is continuous on $[a, b]$ with $a_{ij}(t) > 0$ on (a, b) ; $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. If there exists a nontrivial solution $v(t) = \text{col}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of

$$(16) \quad y'' + A(t)y = 0$$

such that $v'(a) = v(b) = 0$ and $v_k(t) \geq 0, k=1, \dots, n$, then b is the first focal point of a relative to (16).

Proof. First we note that if a has a focal point relative to (16), then the first focal point of a relative to (16) exists. Since

$$\int_a^b G(s, t, b) A(s) v(s) ds$$

is a unique solution of the boundary value problem

$$x'' = -A(t)x(t),$$

$$x'(a) = x(b) = 0,$$

we must have

$$(17) \quad v(t) = \int_a^b G(s, t, b) A(s) v(s) ds.$$

Let $\bar{t} \in [a, b]$ be such that $v_k(\bar{t}) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \max_{t \in [a, b]} v_j(t)$

By the same argument that was used to establish the inequality (15) it follows that

$$b-a \geq \frac{1}{\int_a^b \|A(s)\| ds}$$

where b is any focal point of a relative to (16). If a did not have first focal point relative to (16) then the left side of the preceding inequality could be made approaching zero with the right side approaching infinity, a contradiction. We note that by (17) and Lemma 2.3, $\lambda_0(b) = 1$.

Suppose b is not the first focal point of a relative to (16). Then there exists a point b' in (a,b) such that b' is the first focal point of a relative to (16). By Theorem 3.2, there exists $u \in K(b')$, $u \neq 0$, satisfying

$$u'' + A(t)u = 0$$

therefore

$$u(t) = \int_a^{b'} G(s,t,b') A(s) u(s) ds.$$

By Lemma 2.3 $\lambda_0(b') = 1$. But this contradicts the strict monotonicity of $\lambda_0(b)$, established in Lemma 2.4. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.4. Let $A(t) = (a_{ij}(t))$ be an $n \times n$ matrix which is continuous on $[a, \infty)$ with $a_{ij}(t) \geq 0$. If

$$(18) \quad y'' + A(t)y = 0$$

is disfocal on $[a, \infty)$, then there exists a nontrivial solution $u(t)$ of (18) such that $u'(a) = 0$ and $0 \leq u(t)$ for $t \geq a$. Furthermore, if $A(t_0)$ is irreducible for some $t_0, t_0 > a$, then $0 < u(t)$ for $t > a$.

Proof. For each number m , let $A_m = (a_{ij}(t) + \frac{1}{m})$.

We first show that for each m , a has a focal point, and hence first focal point relative to

$$(19) \quad y'' + A_m y = 0.$$

Let $\gamma > 1$ and let B_m be the diagonal matrix given by

$$B_m = \text{diag} \left(\frac{1}{m\gamma}, \dots, \frac{1}{m\gamma} \right).$$

Clearly, each element of A_m is greater than the corresponding element of B_m .

Furthermore, $z(t) = \text{col} \left(\text{Cos} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m\gamma}} \cdot (t-a), 0, \dots, 0 \right)$ is a solution of

$$z'' + B_m z = 0$$

satisfying $z'(a) = 0 = z(a + \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{m\gamma}})$. Therefore, by

Theorem 3.1 a has a focal point to the left of $a + \pi\sqrt{m\gamma}$ relative to (19). This shows that the first focal point of a relative to (19) exists (see the proof of theorem 3.3). For each integer m , let c_m denote the first focal point of a relative to (19). If $m_1 < m_2$, then the elements of A_{m_1} are strictly greater than the corresponding elements of A_{m_2} . Hence by Theorem 3.1, $c_{m_1} < c_{m_2}$. By Theorem 3.2, there exists $y_m \in K(c_m)$, $y_m \neq 0$, satisfying

$$y_m'' + A_m(t) y_m = 0.$$

Multiplying the preceding equation by a suitable constant, we can assume without loss of generality, that $y_m(a) \rightarrow \zeta$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where $|\zeta| = 1$. By continuity with respect to initial condition and parameters, if $y(t)$ satisfies

$$y'' + A(t)y = 0, \quad y'(a) \text{ and } y(a) = \zeta,$$

then $y_m \rightarrow y$ uniformly on compact subintervals of $[a, \infty)$. Now, for the strictly increasing sequence

$$\{c_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} = 1, \text{ one of the possibilities holds. (1)}$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} c_m = c < \infty, \quad (2) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} c_m = \infty. \text{ Suppose (1)}$$

holds. Then $y(c) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m(c_m) = 0$, contradicting the assumption that (18) is disfocal on $[a, \infty)$. Therefore, (2) must hold. For any fixed $t, a < t < \infty$, we have $y(t) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m(t)$. Since $y_m \in K(c_m)$, $0 \leq y_m(t)$ if $c_m > t$. Hence $0 \leq y(t)$, and the first part of our theorem is proved.

To prove the last part of our theorem, assume that $A(t_0)$ is irreducible for some $t_0 > a$. For each $k, k=1, \dots, n$, u_k satisfies the equation

$$u_k'' + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj}(t) u_j(t) = 0.$$

Hence $u_k''(t) \leq 0$: Since $u'(a) = 0, u_k'(a) = 0$, and since $u_k''(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq a, u_k'$ is decreasing. If at $t^* > a, u_k(t^*) = 0$, then $u_k'(t^*)$ is equal to zero. This implies that $u_k \equiv 0$. Therefore $u_k \equiv 0$. Suppose it is false that $0 < u(t)$ for $t > a$. Let $I = \{i, i=1, \dots, n \mid u_i(t) = 0\}$, and let $J = \{1, \dots, n\} - I$. Then $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = I \cup J, I \cap J = \emptyset$. For each $j \in J, u_j(t) > 0$ for $t > a$. For each $i \in I$ and $s > a$, we have

$$0 = u_i'(s) + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}(s) u_k(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}(s) u_k(s) = \sum_{j \in J} a_{ij}(s) u_j(s).$$

Since $u_j(s) > 0$ and $a_{ij}(s) \geq 0$, it follows $a_{ij}(s) = 0$. This shows that $a_{ij}(s) = 0$ on (a, ∞) for $i \in I$ and $j \in J$.

contradiction $A(t_0)$ is irreducible.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deep gratitude to Professor Shair Ahmad for his guidance and encouragement.

References

1. Ahmad, S.; On Positivity of Solution and Conjugate Point of Nonselfadjoint Systems. *Bulletin Del' Academic Polonaise Des Sciences*, Vol XXVII,

(1979).

2. Ahmad, S. and Lazer, A.C.; A n-Dimensional. Extension of the Sturm Separation Comparison Theory to a class of Nonselfadjoint Systems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* Vol., 9, No. 6 (1978).

3. Ahmad, S. and Lazer A.C.; A New Generalization of the Sturm Comparison Theorems to Selfadjoint. *Proceedings of the Amer. Math. Soc.* Vol. 68. No. 2 (1968).

4. Ahmad, S.; On Nonselfadjoint Linear Homogeneous Systems. *Notices of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76 T-B134, 23 (1976).

5. Fariabi, S. Sturmian Theory for Nonselfadjoint Systems and a Class of N-th Order Equation. Thesis. Oklahoma State University (1979).

6. Coppel, W.A. Disconjugacy. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer Verlag. Berlin (1971).