

SOME RESULTS OF CONTINUITY OF ω_f

M. M. Moghadam

Mathematics Department, University of Kerman, Kerman, Islamic Republic of Iran

Abstract

The dynamical behavior of a map on the unit interval has been the subject of much contemporary research. In this paper, we will consider the relation between the continuity of the map ω_f and ω_{f^k} for some positive integer k , where f is a continuous map from the unit interval to itself, and ω_f is a function which takes any element of the unit interval to the set of all subsequential limits of the orbit of x under f . Also, it is shown that for any k , the continuity of ω_f implies the equicontinuity of the iterates $\{f^n\}$.

Introduction

Suppose we are studying a social or physical system on which we make measurements at regular intervals. For example, suppose we are measuring the population of a simple species each year. Suppose that the population changes at a rate that is directly proportional to the population at the given time. Let $P(t)$ denote the population at time t , then we have:

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \lambda P.$$

With the assumption of $P_0 = P(0)$ the solution to this differential equation is $P(t) = P_0 e^{\lambda t}$. Note that in this extremely simple situation, we did not take into account the obvious factors such as immigration, deathrate, overcrowding, etc. Let P_n denote the population after n generation, and use the most highly simplified method, that is, the population in the $n + 1^{st}$ generation is directly proportional to the population of the n^{th} generation with the constant of proportionality λ . Hence,

$$P_{n+1} = \lambda P_n.$$

Let $f(x) = \lambda x$. If $x = P_0$, then $f(x) = P_1, f(f(x)) = P_2, f(f(f(x))) = P_3$, and so on. Experience shows these models are highly idealized. Therefore, to get a better reflection of reality we incorporate an additional con-

straint or parameter. That is, we assume there is some limiting value P for the population. A reasonable model would then be a generalized logistic equation

$$P_{n+1} = \lambda P_n (1 - P_n / P).$$

The dynamical behavior of this equation and even its more simplified version $f(x) = \lambda x(1-x)$, which again is known as the logistic equation, have been the subject of much contemporary research, and lead to one of the most complicated dynamical systems (for more details see [5], [4] and [9]), namely, the orbits of relatively close points may be far apart. Indeed, there is a set of points S (countable or even having a positive measure [10]) such that for any $x, y \in S, x \neq y$,

$$\limsup |f^n(x) - f^n(y)| > 0,$$

$$\liminf |f^n(x) - f^n(y)| = 0.$$

In other words f is chaotic in the sense of [6] (see also [11], [12] and [1]).

The detailed dynamical behavior of function $f(x) = \lambda x(1-x)$ for different λ can be found in [5]. Indeed, it is proved that for $\lambda = 4$, f is chaotic on the entire interval $[0, 1]$. For behavior of non-periodic flows and infinite limit set of iterated maps on an interval one may see [7] and [8].

Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a continuous function where I is the unit interval. For $x \in I$ we define the orbit of x to be the set of points $x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots$, and we show this

Keywords: ω -limit set; Equicontinuity

The research was done during the author's sabbatical leave at Michigan State University, U.S.A.

set by $O(x)$, where $f^{n+1} = f(f^n(x))$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The attractor set of x under f is defined to be the set of all subsequential limits of $O(x)$, and we denote this set by $\omega(x, f)$. In the next section, we will consider the behavior of the map which takes an element $x \in I$ to $\omega(x, f)$. This map is denoted by ω_f . Also, we will find conditions under which continuity of this map and the map ω_{f^k} for an integer k is related to the equicontinuity of the family of iterates $\{f^n\}$.

Regularity

The following lemma will connect the concepts of continuity of ω_f and ω_{f^k} . In the following $f: I \rightarrow I$ is assumed to be a continuous function.

Lemma (2,1). Let k be any positive integer, then
$$\omega(x, f) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega(f^j(x), f^k).$$

Proof. For $x_0 \in \omega(x, f)$, choose $A = \{n_1, n_2, \dots\}$, such that $\{f^{n_i}(x)\}$ converges to x_0 . For $0 \leq j < k$, define $A_j = \{m \in A: \text{there exists an } l \in N \text{ such that } m = kl + j\}$. That is, we partition A , and hence $A = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j$. Suppose for some $0 \leq j_0 < k$, A_{j_0} is infinite. Enumerate A_{j_0} and suppose that $A_{j_0} = \{m_i^{j_0}: i \in N\}$, then $\{f^{m_i^{j_0}}\}$ will converge to x_0 . Hence $x_0 \in \omega(f^{j_0}(x), f^k)$. The rest is clear.

Let W denote the set of all nonempty compact subsets of I . For $A, B \in W$, we define $\rho(A, B)$ to be equal the distance between these sets. That is $\rho(A, B) = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Then (W, ρ) is a compact metric space.

Proposition (2, 2). If for some k , ω_{f^k} be continuous, then ω_f is continuous.

Proof. Observe that by lemma (2, 1), we have,

$$\rho(\omega(x, f), \omega(y, f)) \leq \rho(\omega(x, f^k), \omega(y, f^k)).$$

In [3], Bruckner and Hu showed that on a compact metric space (X, ρ) , if f is a surjective map on X , whose sequence of iterates $\{f^n\}$ is equicontinuous, then f is a homeomorphism. In particular if X is a closed interval, then f^2 is identity on X .

The connection of equicontinuity of $\{f^n\}$ and Γ -compactness of f is described in [2]. We state the following definition and proposition for later use.

Definition (2, 3). $f: I \rightarrow I$ is said to be Γ -compact if every sequence of iterates $\{f^n\}$ has a subsequence which is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of I .

On the compact set $I = [0, 1]$, the criterion for Γ -compactness of a map f have been seen ([2]) to be dependent only on the connectedness of the fixed point set of the function f^2 . For proof of the following proposition see [2].

Proposition (2, 4). $f: I \rightarrow I$ is Γ -compact if and only if the sequence $\{f^n\}$ is equicontinuous.

Proposition (2, 5). Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be given, and F_2 be the set of fixed points of f^2 . Then $F_2 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(I)$ if and only if $\{f^n\}$ is equicontinuous.

Proof. First suppose $F_2 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(I)$. Then F_2 is connected. Hence f is Γ -compact. Thus, by proposition (2, 4) the sequence of iterates $\{f^n\}$ is equicontinuous. Conversely, suppose $\{f^n\}$ is equicontinuous, then f is a homeomorphism on the interval $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(I)$, and hence f^2 is identity on this interval. Therefore
$$F_2 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(I).$$

Theorem (2, 6). The family of iterates $\{f^n\}$ is equicontinuous if and only if there exists a positive integer k such that ω_{f^k} is continuous.

Remark. Equicontinuity of $\{f^n\}$ implies the continuity of ω_{f^k} for any k .

Proof. Let $\{f^n\}$ be equicontinuous. It is clear that for $k \in N$, the family of iterates $\{f^{kn}\}$ is equicontinuous. Hence, for the given positive ε , there exists a positive δ , such that $|x - y| < \delta$ implies $|f^{kn}(x) - f^{kn}(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ for all n . Suppose x_0 be a given element of $\omega(x, f^k)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{n_i\}$ such that $|f^{kn_i}(x) - x_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, for all i . Thus, if $|x - y| < \delta$, then $|f^{kn_i}(y) - x_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Therefore,

$$\rho(\{x_0\}, \omega(y, f^k)) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Likewise for any y_0 in $\omega(y, f^k)$ we will have

$$\rho(\{y_0\}, \omega(x, f^k)) < \varepsilon/2.$$

for all x with $|x - y| < \delta$. Thus, $|x - y| < \delta$ implies

$$\rho(\omega(x, f^k), \omega(y, f^k)) < \varepsilon.$$

Suppose there exists $k \in N$ such that ω_{f^k} is continuous. Thus, by proposition (2,2) ω_f is continuous. With-

out loss of generality we may assume that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(I)$ is a non-degenerate closed interval, say $[a, b]$. Let F_i be the set of fixed points of the function f^i for $i=1, 2, \dots$. Suppose there exist two different points $\alpha, \beta \in F_1$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) \cap F_1 = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(x) > x$ on (α, β) . Define $I_1 = \{x \in (\alpha, \beta): f(x) = \beta\}$. If $I_1 \neq \emptyset$, we choose an element $x_1 \in I_1$, and define $I_2 = \{x \in (\alpha, \beta): f(x) = x_1\}$. Next pick up an element $x_2 \in I_2$, and so on. By induction, we will have a sequence (x_n) such that $f^n(x_n) = \beta$ for

$n=1,2,\dots$, and (x_n) is a decreasing sequence converging to α . Thus, by continuity of ω_f , $\alpha = \beta$. If $I_1 = \phi$, then for any $x \in (\alpha, \beta)$, $\omega(x, f) = \{\beta\}$, which again by continuity of ω_f , we must have $\alpha = \beta$. Therefore, F_1 is a connected set.

Now suppose $\{f^n\}$ is not equicontinuous, then by proposition (2,5) there exists an $x \in [a, b]$ such that $f^2(x) \neq x$. Since F_1 is connected and $f([a, b]) = [a, b]$, there must be at least two different points $\gamma, \delta \in [a, b]$ such that $F_2 \cap (\gamma, \delta) = \phi$. Hence, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to γ such that $\omega(x_n, f^2) = \{\delta\}$. But continuity of ω_f , implies $\{\gamma, f(\gamma)\} = \{\delta, f(\delta)\}$. Thus, $\gamma = f(\delta)$, $\delta = f(\gamma)$. So f^2 has a fixed point in (γ, δ) , which is a contradiction.

As an application of theorem (2,6) in the following we shall see an "inverse" version of proposition (2,2).

Corollary (2,7). If ω_f is continuous, then ω_{f^k} is continuous for any positive integer k .

Proof. The continuity of ω_f implies the equicontinuity of $\{f^2\}$. Thus, for any k , $\{f^{kn}\}$ is equicontinuous, hence by theorem (2,6) and proposition (2,5) ω_{f^k} is continuous.

Acknowledgements

It was brought to our attention by a referee that these results are to be submitted for publication as below:

- (a) A. M. Bruckner. Three forms of chaos and their associated attractors, preprint.
- (b) A. M. Bruckner and J. Ceder. Chaos in terms of map

$$x \rightarrow w(x, f),$$

to appear in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics.

The author is pleased to thank the referee for his comments. Although some of our results are also obtained in article (b), our approach is different and the work is independent.

References

1. Block, L.S. and Coppel, W. A. Stratification of continuous function map of an interval. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **297**, 587-604, (1986).
2. Boyce, W. Γ -compact maps on an interval and fixed points; *Ibid.*, **160**, 87-102, (1971).
3. Bruckner, A.M. and Hu, T. Equicontinuity of iterates of an interval map. *Tamkang J. of Math.*, 289-297, (1987).
4. Collect, P. and Eckman, J. Iterated maps on the interval as dynamical system. Progress in Physics, 1, Birkauser, Boston, (1980).
5. Devaney, R.L. *An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems*, Benjamin/Cummings Publ. Co., Menlo Park, (1986).
6. Li, T.Y. and York, J.A. Period three implies chaos. *Amer. Math. Month.*, **82**, 985-992, (1975).
7. Lonz, E.N. Deterministic nonperiodic flows. *J. Atmospheric Sci.*, **20**, 30-141, (1963).
8. Metropolis, N. Stein, M.L. and Stein, P.R. On infinite limit set for transformations on the unit interval. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser.*, **A15**, 25-44, (1973).
9. Preston, C. Iterates of maps on an interval. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. **999**, Spinger, (1983).
10. Smítal, J. A chaotic function with a scrambled set of positive Lebesgue measure. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **92**, 50-54, (1984).
11. Stein, P.R. and Ulam, S.M. *Nonlinear transformation studies on electronic computers*, Los Alamos Scr. Lab., Los Alamos, New Mexico, (1963).
12. Targonski, G. *Topics in iteration theory*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Gottingen, (1981).