

MODULE HOMOMORPHISMS ASSOCIATED WITH HYPERGROUP ALGEBRAS

A. Ghaffari*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Tarbiat Modarres University, P.O.Box
14115/175, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran

Abstract

Let X be a hypergroup. In this paper, we study the homomorphisms on certain subspaces of $L(X)^*$ which are weak*-weak* continuous.

Keywords: Homomorphisms; Hypergroup algebras; Weak*-weak* continuous

1. Introduction and Notations

The theory of hypergroups was initiated by Dunkl [3], Jewett [7] and has received a good deal of attention from harmonic analysts. It is still unknown whether an arbitrary hypergroup admits a left Haar measure (for more information see [2]). The lack of the Haar measure and involution presents many difficulties, however, we succeed to get some interesting results. Let X be a hypergroup (for more information see [3] or [10]) with convolution measure algebra $M(X)$ and probability measures $M_p(X)$. Recall that $L(X)$ denotes the set of all measures $\mu \in M(X)$ for which the mapping $x \rightarrow |\mu| * \delta_x$ is norm-continuous [6,10]. We assume that X is foundation, i.e. $\bigcup \{\text{supp}(\mu); \mu \in L(X)\}$ is dense in X . It is well known that $L(X)$ is an ideal in $M(X)$ and $L(X)$ has a positive bounded approximate identity bounded by 1 ([6], Lemma 1).

The first Arens product on $L(X)^{**}$ is defined in three steps as follows. For $\mu, \nu \in L(X)$, f in $L(X)^*$ and F, G in $L(X)^{**}$, the elements $f\mu$, Ff of $L(X)^*$ and GF of $L(X)^{**}$ are defined by $\langle f\mu, \nu \rangle = \langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle$, $\langle Gf, \mu \rangle = \langle G, f\mu \rangle$ and $\langle FG, f \rangle = \langle F, Gf \rangle$.

Let $B = L(X)^* L(X)$, we know that B is a Banach subspace of $L(X)^*$. The formulas which define the first Arens product in $L(X)^{**}$ can also be used to define a Banach algebra structure on B^* [10]. Finally, for every $\mu \in L(X)$, $\nu \in M(X)$ and $f \in L(X)^*$ we define $\langle \nu, f\mu \rangle = \langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle$ and $\langle f\nu, \mu \rangle = \langle f, \nu * \mu \rangle$, so that $M(X) \subseteq B^*$. Also, we define $\langle m\nu, \nu \rangle = \langle m, \nu \rangle$ for any $m \in B^*$, $f \in L(X)^*$ and $\nu \in L(X)$. Most of our notation in this paper coming from [6,10]. In this paper, we will characterize some homomorphisms which are weak*-weak* continuous (see below).

2. Main Results

We remember that the topological centre of B^* is defined by $Z_t(B^*) = \{m \in B^*; \text{the mapping } n \rightarrow mn \text{ is weak*-weak* continuous}\}$. When X is a hypergroup with an involution and Haar measure, it is known that $Z_t(B^*) = M(X)$. We do not exactly know $Z_t(B^*) = M(X)$, however, for the following Theorem, we assume that $Z_t(B^*) = M(X)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $T: B \rightarrow L(X)^*$ be a bounded linear map. Then $T \in \text{Hom}_{L(X)}(B, L(X)^*)$ (where

$T \in \text{Hom}_{L(X)}(B, L(X)^*)$ means $T(f\mu) = T(f)\mu$ for $f \in B, \mu \in L(X)$ if and only if $T(f\delta_x) = T(f)\delta_x$ for $f \in B$ and $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $T \in \text{Hom}_{L(X)}(B, L(X)^*)$, and let (e_α) be a bounded approximate identity in $L(X)$ ([6], Lemma 1). For $f \in B$ and $x \in X$, we have $T(f\delta_x) = \lim T(f e_\alpha * \delta_x) = \lim T(f) e_\alpha * \delta_x = T(f)\delta_x$, i.e. $T(f\delta_x) = T(f)\delta_x$.

To prove the converse, let $f \in B$ and $\mu \in L(X)$. Let T^* be adjoint to T . By ([4], Lemma 3.4), for each $v \in L(X)$, we can write $\langle T(f\mu), v \rangle = \langle T^*(v), f\mu \rangle = \int \langle T^*(v), f\delta_x \rangle d\mu(x) = \int \langle v, T(f\delta_x) \rangle d\mu(x) = \int \langle \delta_x * v, T(f) \rangle d\mu(x) = \langle \mu * v, T(f) \rangle = \langle T(f)\mu, v \rangle$. This shows that $T(f\mu) = T(f)\mu$. Consequently $T \in \text{Hom}_{L(X)}(B, L(X)^*)$.

Notation 2.2. For $\mu \in M(X)$, let ρ_μ be a right multiplier on $L(X)$ defined by $\rho_\mu(v) = v * \mu$, where $v \in L(X)$.

Define $T: C_b(X) \rightarrow L(X)^*$ by $\langle T(\varphi), \mu \rangle = \int \varphi(x) d\mu(x)$ for every $\varphi \in C_b(X), \mu \in L(X)$. Then it is easy to see that $\|T(\varphi)\| = \|\varphi\|$. This shows that we may identify $C_b(X)$ with a subspace of $L(X)^*$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $T: B \rightarrow L(X)^*$ be a bounded linear map such that;

- 1) $T(f\delta_x) = T(f)\delta_x$ for any $f \in B$ and $x \in X$,
- 2) T is weak*-weak* continuous.

Then $T = \rho_\mu^*$ for some $\mu \in M(X)$. Moreover, μ is unique and $\|\mu\| = \|T\|$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $T \in \text{Hom}_{L(X)}(B, L(X)^*)$. It is easy to see that $T^*(\mu * v) = \mu T^*(v)$ for any $\mu, v \in L(X)$. Now, let (n_α) be a net in B^* such that $n_\alpha \rightarrow n$ ($n \in B^*$) in the weak*-topology. Since T is weak*-weak* continuous and $n_\alpha f \rightarrow n f$ ($f \in L(X)^*$) in the weak*-topology, so that for each $v \in L(X)$ we have $\langle T^*(v) n_\alpha, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle T^*(v) n, f \rangle$. It follows that $T^*(L(X)) \subseteq Z_r(B^*) = M(X)$. On the other hand, $L(X)$ has a bounded approximate identity, and $L(X)$ is an ideal in $M(X)$. Therefore $T^*(L(X)) \subseteq L(X)$. By ([6], Proposition 1), there exists a measure $\mu \in M(X)$ such that $T^*(v) = \rho_\mu(v)$ for all $v \in L(X)$. Clearly $T = \rho_\mu^*$. It is easy to see that $\|T\| \leq \|\mu\|$. Now, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There exists $\varphi \in C_c(X)$ with $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$ such that $|\langle \varphi, \mu \rangle| \geq \|\mu\| - \varepsilon$. Let (e_α) be a bounded approximate identity with norm 1. Therefore $\|T\| \geq \|T(\varphi)\| \geq \lim \langle \rho_\mu^*(\varphi), e_\alpha \rangle = |\langle \varphi, \mu \rangle| \geq \|\mu\| - \varepsilon$ (since $C_c(X) \subseteq B$ [10]). Consequently $\|T\| = \|\mu\|$. It is easy to see that μ is unique. This completes our proof.

Corollary 2.4. Let G be a locally compact abelian group and $T: LUC(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G)$ (where $LUC(G)$ denote the closed subspace of bounded left uniformly continuous functions on G) be a bounded linear map such that;

- 1) $T(f\delta_x) = T(f)\delta_x$ for any $f \in LUC(G)$ and $x \in G$,
- 2) T is weak*-weak* continuous.

Then $T = \rho_\mu^*$ for some $\mu \in M(G)$. Moreover, μ is unique and $\|\mu\| = \|T\|$.

Proof. We know that $L^\infty(G)L(G) = LUC(G)$ and $Z_r(LUC(G)^*) = M(G)$ ([8], Theorem 1). The results follows from Theorem 2.3.

Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. It is well known that A^{**} and $(A^*A)^*$ with the first Arens product are Banach algebras [1]. In addition, we define $\langle n f, a \rangle = \langle n, f a \rangle$ for $n \in (A^*A)^*, f \in A^*$ and $a \in A$.

We recall that multiplication in a locally convex algebra A is said to be hypocontinuous, if for every neighbourhood U of zero in A and a bounded subset C of A , there exists a neighbourhood V of zero such that $C V U V C \subseteq U$. The following Lemma shows that if multiplication in a Banach algebra A with a bounded approximate identity, is hypocontinuous in the weak-topology, then A^* factors on the left, i.e. $A^*A = A^*$ [9].

Lemma 2.5. Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity, and let the multiplication with weak-topology on A be hypocontinuous. Then A^* factors on the left.

Proof. Let $h \in A^*$ and B_1 be unit ball in A . By assumption, weak-topology on A is hypocontinuous. Therefore there exists a finite subset $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ in A^* and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_1 \{a \in A; |\langle f_i, a \rangle| < \varepsilon \text{ for any } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\} \subseteq \{a \in A; |\langle h, a \rangle| < 1\}$. Now, let $a \in A$ and $\langle f_i, a \rangle = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For $b \in B_1$, we have $\langle h, b a \rangle = 0$, and so $h A \subseteq \text{span}\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$. By ([11], Theorem 1.21), $h A$ is a closed subspace of A^* . On the other hand, if (e_α) is a bounded approximate identity in A , then $h e_\alpha \rightarrow h$ in the weak*-topology. Consequently by ([11], Theorem 1.21), $h e_\alpha \rightarrow h$ in the norm topology. But $h e_\alpha \in h A$ and so $h \in h A$. It follows that A^* factors on the left.

Theorem 2.6. Assume X is such that weak-topology on $L(X)$ is hypocontinuous. Let $T: L(X)^* \rightarrow L(X)^*$ be a bounded linear map such that $T(f\delta_x) = T(f)\delta_x$ for any $f \in L(X)^*$ and $x \in X$. Then $T \in \text{Hom}_{L(X)}(L(X)^*, L(X)^*)$.

Proof. See Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.5.

In [4], we have shown that if G is a nondiscrete abelian locally compact group, then there exists a bounded linear map $T: L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G)$ such that $T(f\delta_x) = T(f)\delta_x$ ($f \in L^\infty(G), x \in G$) and $T \notin \text{Hom}_{L(G)}(L^\infty(G), L^\infty(G))$.

Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity (e_α) bounded by 1. Baker, Lau and Pym [1] have been proved $\text{Hom}_A(A^*, A^*)$ (where $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A^*, A^*)$ means $T(fa) = T(f)a$ for every $f \in A^*$ and $a \in A$) is isomorphic with the Banach algebra $(A^*A)^*$. Indeed, we can prove that $\text{Hom}_A(A^*, A^*)$ is isometric with the Banach algebra $(A^*A)^*$. Let $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A^*, A^*)$, there exists a $n \in (A^*A)^*$ such that $T = T_n$ where $T_n(f) = n f$ ($f \in A^*$). Indeed, we define $\langle n, f \rangle = \langle E, T(f) \rangle$ ($f \in A^*$), where E is a right identity for A^{**} (for more information see Theorem 1.1 in [1]). Hence $\|T_n\| \leq \|n\|$. Now, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There is a functional $f \in A^*$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$ such that $|\langle n, f \rangle| \geq \|n\| - \varepsilon$. It follows that, $\|T_n\| \geq \lim |\langle T_n(f), e_\alpha \rangle| = \lim |\langle n f, e_\alpha \rangle| \geq \lim |\langle n f, e_\alpha \rangle| = |\langle n, f \rangle| \geq \|n\| - \varepsilon$, i.e. $\|T_n\| = \|n\|$.

Theorem 2.7. Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity bounded by 1, and $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A^*, A^*)$. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1) There exists a $n \in (A^*A)^*$ such that $an \in A$ for all $a \in A$, and $T = T_n$.
- 2) T is weak*-weak* continuous.

Proof. Let $T = T_n$ and $an \in A$ for any $a \in A$. Let (f_α) be a net in A^* such that $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$ ($f \in A^*$) in the weak*-topology. For $a \in A$, we have $\langle an, f_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle an, f \rangle$, and so $\langle T_n(f_\alpha), a \rangle \rightarrow \langle T_n(f), a \rangle$. This shows that T is weak*-weak* continuous.

To prove the converse, let $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A^*, A^*)$. By ([1], Theorem 1.1), there exists a $n \in (A^*A)^*$ such that $T = T_n$. Now, let $a \in A$. By assumption, T is weak*-weak* continuous, and so $T_n^*(a) \in A^{**}$ is weak*-continuous. It follows that $T_n^*(a) \in A$ ([11], Chapter 3). On the other hand, $\langle T_n^*(a), f \rangle = \langle a, T_n(f) \rangle = \langle a, nf \rangle = \langle an, f \rangle$ where $f \in A^*$, i.e. $T_n^*(a) = an$. Consequently $an \in A$ for any $a \in A$. This completes our proof.

Corollary 2.8. Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity bounded by 1. If all operators T in $\text{Hom}_A(A^*, A^*)$ are weak*-weak* continuous, then $(A^*A)^* = Z_t$ where $Z_t = \{n \in (A^*A)^*; \text{the mapping } m \rightarrow nm \text{ is weak*-weak* continuous}\}$.

Proof. Suppose all operators T in $\text{Hom}_A(A^*, A^*)$ are weak*-weak* continuous, and let $n \in (A^*A)^*$. Then $T_n \in \text{Hom}_A(A^*, A^*)$ is weak*-weak* continuous. By Theorem 2.7, $an \in A$ for any $a \in A$. A standard argument using the Cohen-Hewitt factorization Theorem shows that $AA = A$. Now, let $m_\alpha \rightarrow m$ in the weak*-topology, and let $f \in B$. There exist $g \in B$ and $a \in A$ such that $f = ga$. Therefore $\langle nm_\alpha, f \rangle = \langle anm_\alpha, g \rangle = \langle m_\alpha, gan \rangle$ and $\langle nm, f \rangle = \langle m, gan \rangle$. This shows that $\langle nm_\alpha, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle nm, f \rangle$, i.e. $n \in Z_t$. Consequently $Z_t = (A^*A)^*$.

Corollary 2.9. Let G be a locally compact group. Then all operators T in $\text{Hom}_{L(G)}(L^\infty(G), L^\infty(G))$ are weak*-weak* continuous if and only if G is compact.

Proof. By ([8], Theorem 1), we have $Z_t(\text{LUC}(G)^*) = M(G)$. On the other hand, $\text{LUC}(G)^* = M(G) \oplus C_0(G)^\perp$ ([5], Lemma 1.1). The results follows from Corollary 2.8.

For some Banach algebras A , the subspace $\{n \in (A^*A)^*; An \subseteq A\}$ of B^* have been studied by Lau and Ülger in [9]. In the following Theorem we will study $\{n \in B^*; L(X)n \subseteq L(X)\}$.

Theorem 2.10. Let X be a hypergroup. Then $\{n \in B^*; L(X)n \subseteq L(X)\} = M(X)$.

Proof. Since $L(X)$ is an ideal in $M(X)$, we have $M(X) \subseteq \{n \in B^*; L(X)n \subseteq L(X)\}$. For the reverse inclusion, let $n \in \{n \in B^*; L(X)n \subseteq L(X)\}$. So the mapping $v \rightarrow vn$ from $L(X)$ into $L(X)$ is a right multiplier. By ([6], Proposition 1), there exists a measure μ in $M(X)$ such

that $v*\mu = vn$ for any $v \in L(X)$. Now, let (e_α) be a bounded approximate identity in $L(X)$ and $f \in B$. Then $\langle e_\alpha * \mu, f \rangle = \langle e_\alpha n, f \rangle$ (for all α) implies $\langle \mu, f \rangle = \langle n, f \rangle$, i.e. $\mu = n$. This completes our proof.

Corollary 2.11. Assume X is such that $Z_t(B^*) = M(X)$. Then $L(X)$ is an ideal in B^* if and only if X is compact.

Proof. Let $L(X)$ be an ideal in B^* , and let $n \in B^*$. It is easy to see that the operator T_n is weak*-weak* continuous. Consequently by Corollary 2.8, $B^* = Z_t(B^*) = M(X)$. But $B^* = M(X) \oplus C_0(X)^\perp$ ([11], Theorem 4), and so $C_0(X)^\perp = \{0\}$, i.e. X is compact.

To prove the converse, let X be compact. Then $B^* = M(X)$, and so the operator T_n ($n \in B^*$) is weak*-weak* continuous. Theorem 2.7 shows that $L(X)$ is a right ideal in B^* . On the other hand, by definition X is commutative [3,6,10], so that $L(X)$ is an ideal in B^* .

Acknowledgements

This work was done while the author was visiting the Department of Mathematical Sciences at the University of Alberta. The Author would like to thank both Professor A.T. Lau and University of Alberta for their hospitality.

References

1. Baker J., Lau A.T. and Pym J.S. Module homomorphisms and topological centres associated with weakly sequentially complete Banach algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **158**: 186-208 (1998).
2. Bloom W.R. and Heyer H. *Harmonic Analysis of Probability Measures*. de Gruyter, Berlin (1995).
3. Dunkl C.F. The measure algebra of a locally compact hypergroup. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **179**: 331-348 (1973).
4. Ghaffari A. and Medghalchi A.R. Characterization of operators on dual of hypergroups which commute with translations and convolutions, Submitted.
5. Ghahramani F., Lau A.T. and Losert V. Isometric isomorphisms between Banach algebras related to locally compact groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **321**: 273-283 (1990).
6. Ghahramani F. and Medghalchi A.R. Compact multipliers on hypergroup algebras. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, **98**: 493-500 (1985).
7. Jewett R.I. Spaces with an abstract convolution of measures. *Adv. Math.*, **18**: 1-101 (1975).
8. Lau A.T. Continuity of Arens multiplication on the dual space of bounded uniformly continuous functions on locally compact groups and topological semigroups. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **99**: 273-283 (1986).
9. Lau A.T. and Ülger A. Topological centers of certain dual algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **348**: 1191-1212 (1996).
10. Medghalchi A.R. The second dual of a hypergroup. *Math. Z.*, **210**: 615-624 (1992).
11. Rudin W. *Functional Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York (1991).